TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT AUSTIN, TEXAS VOLUME X

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

TEXAS STATE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT AUSTIN, TEXAS

IN THE MATTER OF HSR NO. 161 CONTINUED HEARING -JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO

CONTINUED HEARING

VOLUME X

BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, June 10, 1975, beginning at 1:40 o'clock p.m., in the Old Supreme Courtroom, State Capitol Building, Austin, Texas, the aboveentitled matter came on for hearing, having been continued from Friday, June 6, 1975, before the HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON IMPEACHMENT, the Honorable L. Dewitt Hale, Chairman, Presiding, and the following proceedings were reported by Hickman Reporting Service, 205 West Ninth, Austin, Texas 78701.



HICKMAN REPORTING SERVICE

THE QUALITY REPORTERS Austin, Texas 476-5363 KFT

8782

V.10

19

23

24

25

1	MEMBERS PRESENT
2	REPRESENTATIVE HALE - CHAIRMAN
3	REPRESENTATIVE LANEY
4	REPRESENTATIVE KASTER
5	REPRESENTATIVE HENDRICKS
6	REPRESENTATIVE MALONEY - VICE CHAIRMAN
7	REPRESENTATIVE NABERS
8	REPRESENTATIVE DONALDSON
9	REPRESENTATIVE THOMPSON
10	REPRESENTATIVE CHAVEZ
11	REPRESENTATIVE WEDDINGTON
12	
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
14	FOR HOUSE SIMPLE RESOLUTION NO. 161
15	REPRESENTATIVE TERRY CANALES, P. O. Box 730,
16	Premont, Texas 78375
17	
18	FOR THE RESPONDENT, JUDGE O. P. CARRILLO
19	MR. ARTHUR MITCHELL, Mitchell, George and Belt,
20	1122 Colorado, Westgate Building, Austin, Texas 78701
21	
22	FOR THE WITNESS, MR. CLINTON MANGES
23	MR. JIM BATES, 310 South Clawsner, Edinburg,
24	Texas
25	

1	INDEX	
		!
2	TENTH SESSION - TUESDAY, JUNE 10, 1975	10-05
3	ROLL CALL	10-05
4	OPENING REMARKS	10-05
5	WITNESS CALLED BY THE COMMITTEE	
6	MR. CLINTON MANGES	10-07
-	Direct - Hale	10-09
7		
	Direct - Maloney Direct - Nabers	10-15
8	Direct - Kaster	10-20
_	Direct - Thompson	10-20
9	Direct - Inompson Direct - Hendricks	10-21
	Direct - nendricks	10-22
10	PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT	10-30
11	MR. ARTHUR MITCHELL	10-30
12	WITNESS CALLED BY THE COMMITTEE	10-96
13	MR. RONALDO E. GUERRA	10-96
	70.4	10-96
14	Direct - Hale Direct - Mitchell	10-97
	Direct - Hale	
15	Direct - Hale Direct - Maloney	10-33
٠,	Direct - Maloney	10-103
.,	Direct - Kaster	10-109
16	Direct - Laney Direct - Weddington	10-112
	Direct - weddington	10-113
17	Direct - Maloney	10-114
	Direct - Maloney Direct - Mitchell	10-112
18	Direct - Hale Direct - Kaster	10-118
	Direct - Kaster	10-120
19		
20	CLOSING REMARKS	10-121
21	CERTIFICATE	10-132
22		
23		
24		
75		
25	<u> </u>	

1 EXHIBIT INDEX 2 VOLUME X 3 FOR THE COMMITTEE IDENTIFIED 4 70 Check of Clinton Manges 10-10 5 60 Letter, May 12, 1975, from Joe Guerra 10-68 6 7 FOR THE RESPONDENT IDENTIFIED 8 1 Zertuche General 1967-1968 General Sales Tax Permit 10-31 9 62 Certification from Comptroller of 10 Public Accounts 10-31 11 2 Hector Zertuche's Income Tax Return 10 - 3212 3 Arturo Zertuche's Income Tax Return 10-32 13 . 14 Lawsuit on the destruction of 10-43 Zertuche General Store 15 10-45 5A Reserved 16 6 Checks, January, February, and March, 1971 issued to Zertuche General Store 17 10-47 Individual Tax Return for O. P. Carrillo 18 for 1972 10-54 19 Individual Tax Return for O. P. Carrillo 8 for 1973 10-54 20 21 O. P. Carrillo checks for Ranch Labor, 1967 10-61 10-61 22 10 O. P. Carrillo checks for Labor, 1969 11 O. P. Carrillo checks for Labor, 1970 10-61 23 O. P. Carrillo checks for Labor, 1971 24 12 10-61 25 O. P. Carrillo checks for Labor, 1972 10-61

ı		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	:
2	14	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch labor, 1973	10-61
3	15	O. P. Carrillo checks for Pilon, 1973	10-61
4	16	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch labor, 1974 and 1975	10-61
6	17	O. P. Carrillo checks for building materials, 1975	10-61
7	18	O. P. Carrillo checks for gas, 1975	10-61
8	19	O. P. Carrillo checks ranch butane, 1973, 1974 and 1975	10-61
9	20	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
10	21	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
11	22	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
12	23	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
13	24	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
14	25	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
15	26	O. P. Carrillo checks for gasoline, 1972	10-61
16	27	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch groceries, 1972	10-61
17	28	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch butane, 1972	10-61
18	29	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch supplies, 1972	10-61
19	30	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch supplies, 1971	10-61
20	31	O. P. Carrillo checks for gas, 1971	10-61
21	32	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
22	33	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch groceries	10-61
23	34	O. P. Carrillo checks	10-61
24	35	0. P. Carrillo checks for gasy 1970 And	10-61
25	36	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch repair, 1969	10-61

	1		In-nak
1		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
2 3	37	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch supplies, 1969	10
4	38	O. P. Carrillo checks for gas, 1969	10-61
5	39	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch groceries, 1969	10-61
6 7	40	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch groceries, 1967	10-61
8	41	O. P. Carrillo checks for ranch supplies, 1967	10-61
9	42	O. P. Carrillo checks for gas, 1967	10-61
10	43A	Invoice No. 28186 for 500 sacks of cement	10-68
11 12	43B	Checks, Deposit slips and purchase orders of Ronaldo E. Guerra	10-68
13	44	O. P. Carrillo checks for purchase of 1966 diesel water truck	10-69
14	45	Statement by Morris Ashby	10-71
15	46	Records of Duval County Ranch Company pertaining to taxes due Benavides ISD	10-73
16	47	Civil Docket, Case No. 8707, Duval County Ranch Company, vs. J. W. Bumgardner	10-78
18	48	Civil Docket, Case No. 8591, Duval County Ranch Company Inc., vs. The Speedman Oil Co.	10-78
20	. 49	Warrant on the Bank of South Texas in Alice on account of O. P. Carrillo, \$171,34., "air compressor:	10-80
21	50	Statement of Manuel Amaya, Jr., Statement of Morris Ashby	10.00
23	51	Letter to Judge Vernon Harville from J. R. Alam dated May 22, 1973	10-80 ia 10-81
24	52	Conditional Sales Contract, and Security Agreement and checks for payment of D-8 Cat with cable	10-83

	EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
53	Warranty Deed from O. P. Carrillo to Clinton Manges and wife, various papers revelant thereto	10-84
53A	Petitions for removal	10-87
54	Letter to Judge Wesley Dice from Justice Greenhill dated April 16, 1975 and Civil Docket Case No. 8898, Archer Parr, Antonio E. Garcia and Francisco Ruiz vs. Daniel Tobin Jr., Manuel Amaya Jr. and Ramiro Carrillo date of filing, April 14, 1975	10-88
55	Warrant on the First State Bank and Trust Co. of Rio Grande City on the account of O. P. Carrillo for \$5631.60, copy of sales receipt from M&R Motor Co.	10-89
56	Rules for the removal or retirement of Judges	10-90
57	Papers pertaining to the bankruptcy suit of M. Guerra and son	10-84
58	Papers pertaining to the bankruptcy suit of M. Guerra and son	10-84
59	Papers pertaining to the bankruptcy suit of M. Guerra and son	10-84
60	Papers pertaining to Joe Coudert, et al vs Rudolfo Couling, Case No. 8888	
61	Copy of Corpus Christi Call story, "Parr court o inquiry aborted", March 29, 1975	f
62	Sales tax application of Arturo Zertuche	10-31
63	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91
64	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91
65	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91
66	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91
67	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91
	Sales tax receipts of Farm and Ranch Supply Co.	10-91

EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED Copies of checks to Marvin Foster and Charles Orr on the account of the Benavides ISD 10-91 Newspaper article, "Archer Parr obeys Carrillo's order to cease and desist acting as judge," March 29, 1975 10-91

TUESDAY, JUNE 10, 1975

TENTH SESSION

(The hearing was reconvened at 1:40 p.m., pursuant to the evening recess on Friday, June 6, 1975.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come to order. The Clerk will call the roll.

(The Clerk called the roll.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: A quorum is present.

Members of the Committee, you will recall that Mr. Clinton Manges had appeared briefly before the Committee at one of our previous meetings, and because of the absence of his counsel, who was engaged in court work elsewhere and could not be here until today, we released Mr. Manges until two o'clock this afternoon. Mr. Manges, we're glad to have you back, and glad to see you're accompanied with counsel.

Senator, it's a pleasure to have your back in the halls of the Legislature.

MR BATES: First name, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr Bates, if you would, state into the record, since this is being taped, your name and address and the capacity in which you appear here.

MR. BATES: My name is Jim Bates. I'm an

attorney. I live at McAllen, Texas. My office address is 310 South Clawsner in Edinburg, Texas.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And you're here representing Mr. Manges, as his attorney?

MR. BATES: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We're delighted to have you.

Mr Manges, I believe the Chair swore you the other day, did I not?

(Mr. Manges nodded.)

ı

:

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you. So that you are under oath with respect to your testimony which you give here.

And since your counsel was not here the other day, Mr. Manges, I shall repeat the warning which I gave to you, advising you as to your rights so that counsel will be aware of what went on the other day also.

It's my duty as Chairman to advise you of your rights with reference to your testimony. You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to a prosecution for perjury. After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony. You must answer these questions truthfully, and your refusal to do so could subject you to punishment for contempt. You can refuse to answer questions only on the ground that such

answers might incriminate you, or tend to incriminate you in some way. You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers if you desire. The Chair will attempt to protect your rights at all times.

I asked you the other day, and I'll repeat it again. Do you understand the advice I've given you?

MR. MANGES: Yes, sir.

MR. CLINTON MANGES

was called as a witness by the Committee and, having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q Mr. Manges, the Chair will ask you a few questions, and then other members of the Committee will likewise ask whatever questions they deem pertinent to the inquiry.

You understand that the purpose of this inquiry, and Mr. Bates, particularly for your information, is strictly to determine facts. We're not prosecuting anybody. We're not defending anybody. Our responsibility under the Constitution and Statute is crudely comparable to a Grand Jury, to attempt to collect facts and determine whether or not those facts are sufficient to justify any

MR. BATES: Thank you.

further proceedings. And to the extent that it's possible to do so, the Chair is trying to keep out all tangent matters and try to confine the matters to those pertinent to the conduct of the judgeship of the 229th Judicial District.

MR BATES: Might I ask a question right there, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, you may.

MR. BATES: Would you, since I have not been blessed with a copy of the Resolution about which your roughly Grand Jury investigation is attempting to conduct, would you be so kind as to furnish me with a copy of it before Mr Manges is asked to answer any question?

We sent to the House Journal Clerk a little
while ago for one and got the wrong information. Might
I have a copy of the Resolution and the Amendment thereto?

CHAIRMAN HALE: We'll be happy to get you

a copy of it.

Mr. Bates, there were three Resolutions pertaining to the inquiry, and the Chair is happy to provide you with copies of each one of them.

One is HSR 161, the other is HSR 167, and the third is HSR 221.

1 CHAIRMAN HALE: If you would like a moment 2 to look over those, the Chair will be happy to accommodate 3 you. 4 MR. BATES: It won't take but a couple of 5 minutes. Mr. Chairman. 6 Mr. Chairman, I have read all three of the Reso-7 lutions, and I have called to Mr. Manges' attention the 8 guts of HSR 161, which says that: 9 "Judge O. P. Carrillo has been indicted by 10 a Grand Jury of the United States of America on 11 multiple counts for violations of Federal Income 12 Tax laws . . . " 13 And if that's what you all are investigating, I have 14 just advised Mr Manges to plead his right to incrimination, 15 which I'm assuming that he will do, and to answer no 16 questions by this Committee. 17 CHAIRMAN HALE: Counsel, that's fine. 18 you ready for us to proceed then? 19 MR. BATES: Yes. sir. 20 BY CHAIRMAN HALE 21 Q Would you state your name, please? 22 I respectfully decline and refuse to answer the 23 questions put to me by the Committee, on the grounds that 24 the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this 25 right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Constitution of the United States, Article I, Section 10, Constitution of Texas.

Where do you live?

I respectfully decline and refuse to answer the question put to me by the Committee, on the grounds that the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the Constitution of the United States, and Article I. Section 10, Constitution of Texas.

0 Do you know Judge O. P. Carrillo?

I respectfully decline and refuse to answer the question put to me by the Committee on the grounds that the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the Constitution of the United States, and Article I. Section 10. Constitution of Texas.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Have the Court Reporter, Mr Johnson, mark this for identification.

> (A document was marked "EXHIBIT 70" for identification.)

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Mr. Manges. I hand you Exhibit No. 70, which 0 purports to be a photocopy of a check, and ask you if that is a check that was drawn on your bank account?

I respectfully deckine and refuse to answer the

4 5

question put to me by the Committee on the grounds that the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the Constitution of the United States, and Article I, Section 10, Constitution of Texas.

Q Do you maintain a checking account in the First State Bank and Trust Company of Rio Grande City, Texas?

A I respectfully decline and refuse to answer the question out to me by the Committee on the grounds that the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the Constitution of the United States, and Article I, Section 10, Constitution of Texas.

Q Did you give a check dated January 27, 1971, in the amount of \$6,915 55 in payment for a Cadillac automobile delivered to Judge O. P. Carillo?

A I respectfully decline and refuse to answer the question put to me by the Committee on the grounds that the answer might tend to incriminate me. I claim this right under the provisions of the Fifth Amendment, the Constitution of the United States, and Article I, Section 10, Constitution of Texas

MR. BATES: Mr. Chairman, in order to save the State and taxpayers money, at least to the Court Reporter, could we just simply in the future answer

"I refuse to answer" and be accorded the same reasons
for answering as he has been reading ever since you asked
him his name?

CHAIRMAN HALE: I think that could certainly be adequate, Counsel.

MR. BATES: Thank you.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q Do you have a telephone at your place of residence, Mr. Manges?

A I refuse to answer.

CHAIRMAN HALE: "On the grounds that it may incriminate me," Counsel. He'd better put that on each answer, I would think.

MR BATES: Why can't you just let the Court Reporter write it in? We stipulate that he will answer, and you may put in the record the full answer when he answers "I refuse to answer."

CHAIRMAN HALE: I would think that the witness should say, at least the minimum, "I refuse to answer on the grounds that it may tend to incriminate me." And if he can make that answer, then we will accept that as claiming his full rights under the Fifth Amendment.

MR BATES: You wouldn't just take the same answer? All right. Go right ahead.

Manges - Hale CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, it's not going to be 1 that prolonged. We do not intend to wear him out on the 2 3 Fifth Amendment questions BY CHAIRMAN HALE 4 Q Is the telephone number 394-7386 issued in your 5 name? 6 I refuse to answer on the grounds that it might incriminate me. 8 9 Q Is the telephone number 394-7129 assigned in your name? 10 I refuse to answer on the grounds it might 11 incriminate me. 12 13 Q Over a period of time, beginning in November 14 1974, up to the present time, have you received a great number of telephone calls to one or the other of those 15 two numbers from Judge O. P. Carrillo? 16 I refuse to answer on the grounds it might 17 incriminate me. 18 19 Have you made any telephone calls during that period of time to Judge O. P. Carrillo? 20 I refuse to answer on the grounds it might 21 22 incriminate me. Are you personally acquainted with Judge O. P. 23 Carrillo? 24 25 I refuse to answer on the grounds it might

1	incriminate me.
2	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney, do you have
3	any questions?
4	BY MR. MALONEY
5	Q Do you know O. P. Carrillo?
6	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
7	incriminate me.
8	Q Are you the Plaintiff in a lawsuit styled
9	Clinton Manges vs. M. A. Guerra, et al?
10	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
11	incriminate me.
12	Q Did you testify in that lawsuit?
13	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
14	incriminate me.
15	Q Do you know Mr. Perry Shankle of San Antonio?
16	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
17	incriminate me.
18	Q Do you know Mr. Oscar Carrillo?
19	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
20	incriminate me.
21	Q Do you know Mr. Ramiro Carrillo?
22	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
23	incriminate me.
24	Q Do you know Mr. Arnulfo Guerra?
25	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might

1	incriminate me.
:	Q When did you first become a resident of Duval
•	County?
•	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
,	incriminate me.
6	Q Are you married?
7	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
8	incriminate me.
9	Q Were you served with a subpoena to appear before
10	this Committee?
11	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
12	incriminate me.
13	MR. MALONEY: I pass the witness.
14	CHAIRMAN HALE: I guess Mr. Slack isn't
15	here Mr. Hendricks?
16	MR. HENDRICKS: No questions.
17	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Nabers?
18	BY MR. NABERS
9	Q Do you own any land in Duval County?
20	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
11	incriminate me.
22	Q Do you owe any back taxes on any property owned
23	in Duval County?
24	A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might
25	incriminate me.
ļ	

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

MR. CANALES: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales.

MR. CANALES: I'll direct myself to the Chair. Counsel for Mr. Manges indicated at the beginning that as far as questions regarding income tax evasion charges, he was going to instruct his client not to answer. There are several other charges, or allegations that have been brought before this Committee, and I was curious as to whether Mr. Manges would also refuse to testify as to those on the grounds that he might be incriminated.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr Canales, apparently he intends to invoke the Fifth Amendment on all questions. But the Chair will advise Mr. Bates -- of course this Committee, under the Constitution and Statutes, is not confined to the matters specified in that initial Resolution. I think the law is abundantly clear that once an impeachment proceeding gets under way, that the facts such as whatever they are in any area of misconduct are pertinent to the inquiry. So that if you are basing your instructions to your witness solely on the grounds that it is involving a Federal Income Tax charge, then there are many other charges pending before the Committee.

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I thoroughly MR. BATES: understand that, and I leafed through five volumes of this Committee's previous work this morning and all I

CHAIRMAN HALE: —in the way he answers

found, since about the second or third day of the Hearings, that you've been after a man by the name of Clinton
Manges, and not a man by the name of O. P. Carrillo.
And on that basis, and because I see nothing but this—
I've read a couple of court cases about what this Committee
could or could not do legally—that, taking the whole
picture into consideration, and I did not make a statement
and the reflect will reflect, that because this involved
income tax matters: I said that's all I read in it.

But, based on the reading of the previous questions by members of this Committee, previous testimony before this Committee, and what Clinton Manges' use of a roll of wire, if that ever happened, that might have been owned by Duval County has to do with either Judge Carrillo's impeachment or his income tax evasion thing, I don't know. But I know that it could be directed to Mr. Manges, and for that reason I have instructed him not to answer any more questions because I think you're investigating him; I don't think you're investigating Judge Carrillo.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Bates, we're certainly not investigating Mr Manges, but I can appreciate his caution and your caution-

MR. BATES: Yes sir, thank you.

the questions.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BATES: We have not changed our position. CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.

Mr. Nabers, do you have any more?

MR. NABERS: I think it's a waste of time.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

MR. KASTER: I have a parliamentary inquiry,

Mr. Chairman. I would assume that when the Committee is through with the witness that we can either retain them or let them go on about their business. Now, in the past we have let certain other witnesses go, but I would expect this Committee hearing to last maybe two, three more weeks, and I'm going to move that we retain Mr. Manges, because there might be other questions we want to ask as the proceedings go on, and I would-if it takes two or three weeks, it takes two or three weeks. At the time, would that be proper to move?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, let's think about that for a minute. Mr. Kaster. The Chair doesn't have any desire to cause any inconvenience to any witness. Mr Manges is entitled to assert his rights under the Constitution of the United States and the State of Texas which he's doing, and I don't think-

MR. KASTER: I'm not denying that.

CHAIRMAN HALE: -I don't think that the

2 3 4

Committee should attempt to punish him in that regard.

Our recourse is to either accept his plea of incrimination and release him from further appearance before the Committee, or subject to recall as we've done all the other witnesses, or grant him immunity and then compell him to answer. That would be our recourse on that, and the Chair would certainly not recommend the grant of immunity at this time. We can cross that bridge later on in the hearing if we have to. That would be my thinking on it.

MR. BATES: I would state to the entire Committee that, with any sort of reasonable notice, as much as 18 hours, 24 would be appreciated, that we can make Mr. Manges available, and with some leeway to my schedule in Federal Court in Brownsville, which starts rather heavily next week, I think Judge Garza was most cooperative this week, we would make ourselves available on very short notice to the Committee.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you, Counsel. Would you express to Judge Garza the appreciation of this Committee for his courtesy in permitting your appearance here.

MR. BATES: I will.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We realize that it disrupts other activities when we suppoen apeople to come before this Committee, and we try to accommodate them the

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

best we can. And it would be the thinking of the Chair that Mr. Manges should be treated no differently from other witnesses under subpoena. When we have completed what testimony they have to offer, we have allowed them to go about their business, with the instruction from the Chair that they are still under subpoena and still subject to recall on reasonable notice.

MR. BATES: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Your statement on that would certainly be acceptable to the Chair.

MR. BATES: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you have any questions,

Mr- Kaster?

BY MR. KASTER

- Q Is your name Clinton Manges?
- A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might incriminate me.

MR KASTER: Well, now, if you can answer me, Mr. Chairman, how that incriminates anybody by divulging their name, then instead of invoking the Fifth Amendment I get the feeling of uncooperativeness, of not helping us to seek our Constitutional duty under the State in trying to resolve these matters, and—

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, I don't believe you could construe the witness as cooperative, Mr. Kaster, if

4 5

6 7

9

8

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 that's what you're saying.

MR KASTER: Well, until we decide the matter of immunity, then, I'm going to probably not—you know, there are allegations made that it was difficult to serve a subpoena, and I'm sure that as Mr Bates has indicated, he would have his client here on short notice, and I can appreciate the inconvenience, but I would also advise the Chair that maybe the members of the Committee have been inconvenienced by having to be here when everybody else got to go home. So, it's a two-way street.

BY MR. KASTER

- Q Mr. Manges, do you know Governor Dolph Briscoe?
- A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might incriminate me.

MR KASTER: I don't believe I have any more questions. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson?

MR. DONALDSON: I pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Laney?

MR. LANEY: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Thompson?

BY MR. THOMPSON

- Q Do you have a driver's license?
- A I refuse to answer on the grounds it might

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Weddington?

MS. WEDDINGTON: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Chavez?

MR. CHAVEZ: No questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?

BY MR. HENDRICKS

incriminate me.

Q Has the Governor returned your fifteen thousand dollars, Mr. Manges?

I refuse to answer on the grounds it might incriminate me.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there anything further, members of the Committee, with respect to this witness? (No response)

Mr. Manges, as we have done on other witnesses, the Chair will excuse you from testimony at this time with your Counsel, with the instruction to you that you are still under subpoena to the Committee, and that you are subject to recall by the Committee at a later date if the Committee feels that it is necessary and anything would be gained by recalling you.

Just one second.

(Bench conference with Mr. Canales, Mr. Johnson and the Chairman and Vice-Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Bates, let the Chair

ask you a question.

MR. BATES: I know Mr. Manges.

MR. NABERS: Is that really him?

MR. BATES: All right, sir. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Bates, if the Chair could humorously say, you don't know how much grief you've caused Mr. Mitchell by the fact that he wasn't going to be able to earn this fee for representing Mr. Manges here today.

MR. BATES: We discussed that this morning over his coffee.

served on Mr Manges was a subpoena duces tecum requesting in addition to his appearance here that he also produce personal check number 152, dated January 27, 1971, in the amount of \$6,915.55 drawn on the First State Bank and Trust of Rio Grande City and signed by Clinton Manges. Has he produced that check? Has he brought that check with him?

MR. BATES: We would refuse --- you might as well go ahead and say it, you refuse to produce the check.

A I refuse to produce the check on the grounds it might incriminate me.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine. You did not bring

1	the check then, Counsel? Is that correct?
2	MR. BATES: (No response)
3	CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.
4	Mr. Manges, you will be excused, then, subject
5	to recall by the Committee.
6	MR. JOHNSON: How do we get in touch,
7	with him?
8	MR. BATES: Call me. The lines are all
9	broken in Freer.
10	CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Bates, will you be in
11	touch with your client at all times?
12	MR. BATES: At all times that are necessary
13	at the direction of the Chair.
14	CHAIRMAN HALE: And we can contact you in
15	order to secure his reappearance?
16	MR. BATES: I'm listed in both towns,
17	Edinburg and McAllen.
18	CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you.
19	(The witness, Mr. Clinton Manges, was excused.)
20	(Brief recess)
21	CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come
22	back to order.
23	Mr. Mitchell, the Chair is ready to recognize
24	you at this time, if you are prepared to proceed along
25	the lines we discussed last week.

I

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Fine. Mr. Chairman. I have 70 exhibits that have been marked, and I would like, with the permission of the Chair-I don't have returned to me but the first 28. If I could get from 28 to 61, I can proceed. I believe the Committee has been furnished with those first few exhibits, and if it's all right, I would like to follow the format unless someone has some objections to identifying them and giving them some relevancy to the proceedings. I don't have all of them. I thought maybe I might ought to have them before I commenced.

I would like also, Mr. Chairman, to request what the desires of the Committee will be as regards to the possibility that I can have certain witnesses here. Maybe we can expedite that. I'd like to have, for example, the Ranger for whom I have originally requested to subpoend and whom the Committee hasn't subpoensed, and to testify. I would like to have him for the purpose of bringing a list of the equipment that was finally determined to be owned by the Duval County and where it was located. I think perhaps maybe just that list, maybe five or ten minutes of his time would be ample.

I would like to have Mrs. O. D. Barrington, who is in a position to identify the county employees from Freer, in connection with some questions that were put to some of the witnesses Friday. That is Precinct 3 at Freer.

I would like to have Mr. Ronald Guerra, who I believe is here, in connection with the matter of the cement sale and the identification of an exhibit that will be offered to the Committee.

And I would like to have Gabriel Gonzalez, who is a witness who will identify, who did the work on the store job for Judge Carrillo, as well as the city hall job and hopefully we can trace some of that panelling down for some of the members of the Committee.

In addition, I would like to have Mr. Cleofas

Gonzalez as regards certain testimony that he gave earlier,
and further as to authenticate some of the documentary.

And if I understood, Mr. Chairman, there was no question— or if there was I'd like to know—I had subpoensed several of the attorneys in the area with regard to testimony on official delinquencies by Judge Carrillo or maladministration during the time he was in the course of office, and I understood there had been no question raised. Consequently, I have no need for Mr. Nye and two or three of those attorneys, there won't be any need. But if there is some question, and the Committee desires to question them, I would certainly like to have them up here.

that in executive session, Mr. Mitchell, and I think that while there was no official action taken on it, I think I can express basically the feeling of the Committee was that those would be more or less in the nature of what you would call a character witness in a trial, and I just don't see the relevance of that, nor the necessity for it, at this time.

MR. MITCHELL: Uh-huh Well, fine. If I could put down a laboring oar, I sure will. But in reading the cases, on the ultimate issue as regards impeachment, I have extracted those ultimate issues which I thought to offer testimony on. But if it's not the Committee's wish, certainly there's no question in the Committee's minds as to either his maladministration or official delinquencies, I certainly don't want to bore the record with that. But if there is, I would like to offer that testimony.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, of course, to the extent that any of these attorneys would have information bearing upon the specific matters that have been charged, then they would be pertinent witnesses. If their testimony is going to be simply that they have tried cases in Judge Carrillo's court, and as far as they could tell they got a fair trial, if that's the nature of their

4 5

testimony, the Chair fails to see the relevance of that testimony to anything under investigation. Now, if any of those attorneys have knowledge of the automobile transaction with Mr. Manges, or of the groceries, the Zertuche General Store, or any of the specific matters, then they would be witnesses above and beyond character witnesses. And in that sense their testimony would be pertinent.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, Mr. Speaker, members of the Committee, we admit the indictment, the subject matter of HSR 161. There never has been any—it's a matter of official record, and in the arraignment we've plead not guilty. We have admitted that, and we will admit it. It's pending and the case is tentatively set for trial.

one of the attorneys on which you requested a subpoena—
there's no point in my naming him—but one of those on
whom you requested a subpoena apparently found out through
the newspaper that you had requested the subpoena for
him, and he called me on the telephone and told me that
he hoped that he would not be called; that he knew nothing
about this case of any kind and his testimony would strictly be in the nature of a character witness because he knew
nothing about any of the details of any of the matters
under investigation by the Committee. So, if that's true

of these other attorneys, the Chair sees no reason why they should be called.

MR MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, maybe if I go through my documentation, maybe we can squeeze that water out of the sponge and then make a determination. I would like to have—I think Mr. Hickman told me at the outset that the exhibits numbered 1 through 60 are being reproduced. Am I right, Mr. Hickman? If I could have those, then I could proceed with the presentation.

MR. CANALES: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Canales.

MR. CANALES: Mr. Mitchell has made a comment to the effect that he's going to introduce this documentation. I'd like to request, as the Chair has indicated, there's no necessity for bringing in the caretaker of the records, and I concur. I don't see any necessity for it. But would it be possible to have Mr. Mitchell read into the record the name of the custodian of these records or whoever it is that provided them, so in case we do need cross references we will be able to obtain them?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. The Chair will certainly want to know where all these records came from.

And the reason for the Chair's feeling that it would not be necessary to prove up all of these documents by the

custodian, Mr. Canales, is the fact that again we're not conducting a trial.

 MR. CANALES: That's right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: If this matter ever proceeds to the trial stage, then as Mr. Mitchell well knows, at that point he would be governed by the rules of evidence and would of necessity have to prove up the authenticity of each of these documents as he goes along in order to get it admitted into evidence.

For the purposes of our inquiry, the Chair didn't feel that that was necessary, unless there is some reason on some document for us to question it, in which event we might want to call the custodian in.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr Chairman, members of the Committee, may I proceed?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, you may proceed, Mr. Mitchell.

PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT

MR. MITCHELL: I have as Carrillo 1—

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let the Chair ask a question. I notice the list of exhibits, starting on June the 10th, are marked on the list by Mr. Mitchell here as

CAR-1. I presume the CAR is an abbreviation for Car-111-2

REPORTER: Yes

CHAIRMAN HALE: We were trying to figure out a little earlier what the CAR in front of those exhibit numbers were, and I believe you've just identified it. So for the record then, they will be exhibits which you are offering at this time?

MR MITCHELL: Yes. CAR-1 and CAR-62 are in tandem. CAR-62 is the Certification of Authenticity from the Comptroller of Public Accounts, which encloses the application for—State Sales Tax application question-naire signed by Arturo Zertuche for the issuance of sales tax numbers to Zertuche General Store, giving the name, the address and it, that is, CAR-62 and CAR-61 are to be taken in tandem.

I would like to have Mr. Cleofas Gonzalez recalled to establish the fact that it was filled out by
him, not withstanding his testimony that he did not know
of existence of the store.

(Documents referred to were marked "GAR-1" and "CAR-62" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: Source and rule of evidence under which it is offered is the basic authentication rule, Article 3737E, being an authenticated copy of documents appearing with the State Agency.

CAR-3-2 and 3-first CAR-2, Hector Zertuche's

 tax return for '65 - '66, with special emphasis on Schedule C, showing profit or loss from business or profession, Zertuche General Store gross sale figures, cost of sale, net income, '65-'66.

(The document referred to

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-2" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: CAR-3, Arturo R. Zertuche's 1040 Tax Return, '67, '68, '69 and '70, with emphasis on Schedule C in each, showing gross sale, cost of sale, income, tax paid.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-3" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's !67 through '70?

MR. MITCHELL: '67 through '70, yes sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And No. 2 was '65 and '66?

MR MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Was there any reason for that grouping?

MR MITCHELL: Yes. The first two were for Hector Zertuche, reported on his 1040 form, Schedule C, and Exhibit No. 3 was for Arturo Zertuche, showing sole proprietorship of the same business through the years '68, '69 and '70, showing the sole proprietorship, and of course, showing his various other sources of income. I have not censored these, Mr. Chairman. These are the

1 tax returns as they appeared. 2 MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman, I have a 3 question. 4 CHAIRMAN HALE: Okay. I was going to say, 5 Mitchell, possibly we might have some questions—the 6 Committee might have questions as we go along. 7 MR MITCHELL: I'll attempt to answer them, 8 Mr. Chairman 9 MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Mitchell, in regard 10 to these tax returns, particularly as it relates to Arturo 11 Zertuche, do you have available, or can you make available 12 to the Committee the supporting documents? 13 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. That was the next 14 exhibit, Mr. Donaldson. Maybe if I-15 MR. DONALDSON: All right 16 MR. MITCHELL: In line with Mr. Donaldson's 17 question, Exhibit CAR-4 are the checks input into the 18 gross sales figures on Schedule C, Zertuche General Store, 19 1970. 20 CHAIRMAN HALE: What Exhibit is that? 21 MR. MITCHELL: CAR-4. 22 MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman, I don't have 23 Exhibit 4. 24 CHAIRMAN HALE: I don't believe we have 25 received that.

CLERK: Exhibit 4 would not reproduce, nor would Exhibit 6.

CHAIRMAN HALE: They would not reproduce? CLERK: No, sir.

MR. HENDRICKS: Mr. Chairman, do you have anything after January 1st, 1971? I'm limiting my consideration of anything here from the time the Judge took the bench forward: nothing bacward.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hendricks, I have known from some of your questions that that was your position. There are no tax returns for Zertuche Store after that year, the store going out of existence and closing out December 31st. And that's the full scope of that.

MR. HENDRICKS: Well, Arthur, I fail to see, really and truly, the relevance, because I don't see that we can go into anything that happened prior to January 1st, 1971; at least that's my position.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Mr. Hendricks, I agree of course. I agree in fact to go a step further, that the Certificate of Election under which he is elected is January 1st, '75, but out of an abundance of precaution I want to tender this material to the Committee and will tender, incidentally, all of the input, all of the checks—if the Committee wants them I can have them brought here within about three minutes—all of the checks into '65, '66,

'67, '68, '69 and '70. That's the input checks. I have also all of the output checks. That is, where Zertuche General Store by either Hector Zertuche or Arturo Zertuche as drawor have those that I will tender if the Committee desires them, plus all of the checks reflecting the deductions, cost of sales, both to Farm and Ranch Supply for purchase of merchandise and to other places for purchase of merchandise. But of necessity, they terminate December 31st, 1970. Zertuche was out of existence.

MR. HENDRICKS: I'm directing my attention to the county warrants which were issued after January 1st, 1971, to the Zertuche General Store, and we have no records of it after January the 1st. 1971.

MR MITCHELL: Mr. Hendricks, may I answer that? Yes. I have on Exhibit No. 6, Mr. Hendricks, which are Zertuche Store checks, with this explanation: The Zertuche Store terminated December 31st, '70, and I have tendered here and marked CAR-6, which are the checks from January, February and March to Zertuche Store, but deposited to Farm and Ranch because Zertuche Store's existence terminated December 31st, 1970. And these are all those checks. And they were deposited by deposit slips, which I have also included in Exhibit No. 6, Mr. Hendricks, the deposit slips showing the Zertuche Store checks going into the—

Mitchell.

MR. HENDRICKS: We don't have Number 6, Mr. At least I don't.

CLERK: It wouldn't reproduce.

Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 6, both of which were big bundles, would not reproduce for some reason on the machine. That is why the set of Exhibits which each member has does not contain either Exhibit 4 or Exhibit 6. We will have to apparently find some other reproduction means other than the Xerox in order to get copies of these.

Mr Mitchell, let the Chair ask you a question.

Is the documentation which you are presenting to us going to establish that Zertuche General Store went out of legal existence on December 31, 1970? Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. And there were three months, Mr Hale, as pointed out by Mr Hendricks, there were three months of checks, January, February and March, which I admit, I have the checks here, that were payable to Zertuche Store, the tax, the year was cut off December 31st of 1970, so that these checks, January, February and March, went into Farm and Ranch Supply and those are the facts. They were deposited there, labelled "Zertuche Store," and then after March—I have examined them right on up, there may be one or two, but I can almost say none after that period of time. I have those

4 5

checks, plus the checks—that is, from December 31st, 1970, on old Zertuche Store from January, February and March, including the deposit slips with the notations clearly identified to be Zertuche Store and a complete phase-out in March of 1971.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, if there is documentation showing disbursements to Zertuche General Store, or business conducted in the name of Zertuche General Store, subsequent to December 31, 1970, then it is obviously a fraud and a deal with a non-entity. Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: Well, no. Of course, I take the word "fraud" very seriously. I say that those checks were issued for 90 days. Those facts are there. They were deposited in Farm and Ranch because as the Committee knows, Mr. Carrillo was elected the first time and took office January 1st, 1971,—

CHAIRMAN HALE: '71.

MR. MITCHELL: —so that if that's fraud, that's fraud. The facts are there for January, February and March. They terminated, however, at that period of time.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, maybe the word "fraud"
was maybe a rather loose use by the Chair. It would be
in the same category as a warrant issued to a fictitious

Yes?

person, in that there was no Zertuche Store subsequent to that time.

MR MITCHELL: I don't know what the Commissioner's Court or the governing body had in mind, Mr. Chairman, when they issued them, but these are the facts. They are there, the checks are there. We picked them up on the Farm and Ranch Supply and then they terminated some time in March, and that's my Exhibit No. 6

MR. KASTER: Mr. Chairman-

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Maloney had a question.

MR. KASTER: I have a parliamentary inquiry. This witness is testifying and I don't remember your swearing him in. I don't know that it's necessary, he's the attorney. But everybody else has been sworn in.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, I don't know that Mr Mitchell is a witness in this. He was putting his exhibits in.

MR. KASTER: Well, he's answering questions.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It was my feeling that he was answering questions pertinent to the exhibits, and actually, I have the feeling that Mr. Mitchell, everything he's going to tell us is probably hearsay as to him, since he was not an active participant in any of these things and that was the reason why the Chair hasn't sworn him.

If you feel it is necessary, we can put him under oath, but it's like any other attorney, he has dug out this stuff and he knows only what other people have told him about it. But I think the information which he has accumulated here is pertinent to our inquiry to give us the documentation, plus possible leads for further testimony we might want to take, as members of this Committee.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right. And Mr. Chairman, in light with Mr Kaster's inquiry, I hope that my statements are taken in the form of predicate questions in regards to the authentication of the documents; as the Chair noted quite pointedly, I was not there and do not have personal knowledge. I certainly am not going to mislead the Committee.

But I will—such as Mr. Hendricks, I know that has bothered him all along, and he has in mind the Certificate of Election of '71 which I think is proper, and I do know those checks, they're here and I wanted the Committee that we do have them for their examination.

MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson.

MR. DONALDSON: Would it be possible for

me to get the original copy of 6?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: I have all of them. Those

4

5

6

guess.

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

that cannot reproduce, Mr Chairman, I would like to deliver with the understanding they're my only copies, and of course I have a Federal 7206 case coming up and this is all I've got. So I'd be glad to deliver 4-

> MR DONALDSON: 4 is the one I want. I

MR MITCHELL: Yes. And Mr. Donaldson, I also have the comparable input checks for every year. they are at my office, and if the Committee wants them I certainly want them to see them, that back up those tax returns

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair is advised, members of the Committee, -- and you'll notice that the list of exhibits provided you by the Clerk indicates this information. I hadn't noticed it and perhaps you hadn't. On Carrillo-6, if you will notice, that has to do with the Zertuche General Store, statements, transactions and cancelled checks, and part of that material is the same as Exhibit 28, which you do have. So there is apparently some overlapping between Exhibits 6 and 28 by the Committee __not Carrillo 28. Exhibit 28 that was previously introduced before the Committee.

MR. MITCHELL: I don't have 8 through 29. CHAIRMAN HALE: Could the Chair ask you a question, Mr. Mitchell, about this exhibit 4. Everything

٠,

that is in Exhibit 4 is apparently a Xerox copy or some type of reproductive process.—

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: —and many of them are so dim that apparently they will not reproduce on Xerox. Could the Chair ask, if you have other copies of this same reproduction, or is this your only copy of this reproduction?

MR. MITCHELL: Those are my only copies,

Mr Hale, of the checks, unfortunately. Wait a minute, I'll
take it back. Just a minute.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you have the actual checks of which these are photostatic copies?

MR MITCHELL: Wait a minute. I do have—
yes, I have a copy here.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair is very reluctant to take your only copy of this, knowing your situation in Federal Court.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Or if the original documents which were used to make these copies were available
to the Clerk, we could make copies for everyone from the
documents themselves.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, those were furnished me by my accountant in preparation for my defense

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

sets then?

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 in the Federal case. I assume he got them from the bank. I do have-I made a Xerox copy of that exhibit, which I just noted I have an extra copy, which I'll trade with the Chair.

> CHAIRMAN HALE: Is that Number 4?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. It should be a copy of what the Chair has.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I don't see any difference in these two, as far as quality is concerned. Is there any difference in the two copies?

MR MITCHELL: No sir, not that I can tell. I made them with the permission-earlier I did try to reproduce two sets ---

> CHAIRMAN HALE: Can we keep one of the

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Supposing I take the front page off of your set which has the exhibit on it and trade front pages and give you your set back.

MR MITCHELL: That's fine. Mr. Hale, I state this to the Committee: I do have all of the checks for all of the returns, both going into the Zertuche Store for all of the years. I have those at my office. I'll be glad reproduce those for examination by the Committee.

Now, I have next CAR-5, which is the Cause No.

1 8357 ---

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Mitchell, would you yield to the Chair just a minute?

> MR MITCHELL: Yes. sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Members, the Clerk is handing out now some additional photocopies here, which starts with Carrillo 27 and goes through Carrillo 44, which you will just add at the bottom of your stack of material which had previously been put at each member's desk.

Excuse me, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: That's all right.

I have next, if it please the Committee, the official record from Cause No. 8357 styled O. P. Carrillo vs. Republic Insurance Company, which is on the docket of the District Court, having been filed some time in the late sixties, which is to recover on an insurance policy, which I also have attached to the record, for the destruction of the store building in which the Zertuche Store was located. The record speaks for itself. Carrillo 5.

> (The document referred to was marked "CAR-5" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster has a question on that.

Mr. Mitchell, did you say the MR. KASTER: store was destroyed, in this Cause 8357,-

. 14

MR MITCHELL: Yes, sir?

MR. KASTER: What did you say was located in that store?

MR. MITCHELL: The Zertuche Store, the Zertuche General Store up to the date reflected in the record, Mr. Kaster.

MR. KASTER: It doesn't say so on this petition what was located in the store; it just said that there is a store—

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. The policy of insurance covers the destruction which formed the basis for the law-suit, which I'm just reproducing the record as it is, and the Committee can of course draw its own—I intend to have further testimony, Mr Kaster, on the existence of it, people who bought goods in it and so forth.

MR KASTER: It seems to me that earlier you stated, in one of your statements—I don't remember when it was, I was trying to look through my notes—that the Zertuche Store had gone out of existence in '67 when this hurricane appeared and now then you've introduced testimony, or documents, showing that it did continue until December the 31st, 1970.

MR. MITCHELL: It went out of existence at that one location because of the destruction of the building. It thereafter moved and continued business on

up into December 31st, 1970. 2 MR. KASTER: You will have testimony telling 3 us where it moved to. 4 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. Hopefully. 5 CHAIRMAN HALE: This lawsuit was then for 6 the contents rather than the building structure itself? 7 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. It speaks for itself, 8 I don't really choose to characterize it for Mr 9 the Committee because of, again, the position I am-10 CHAIRMAN HALE: And it was settled for two 11 thousand dollars? 12 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. 13 CHAIRMAN HALE: I noticed the judgment was 14 entered here for two thousand dollars. 15 MITCHELL: Now, I have pictures also 16 of the destruction of the building. I would like to 17 reserve a sub-number, if I might, to introduce those, and 18 that would be Carrillo 5-A. 19 (CAR-5A reserved for identification.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson has a ques-22 tion. 23 MR. DONALDSON: I'm still back on CAR-4. 24 You mentioned some checks that were issued to Zertuche 25 in, as I understood it, January, February and March of '71.

of.

Are those contained in 4, or or they in a different one?

MR. MITCHELL: They're in 6, Mr. Donaldson,
which I'm up to right now.

MR CHAVEZ: Mr. Mitchell, did you say the lawsuit was for the contents or for the building?

MR. MITCHELL: Whatever the record speaks

MR. CHAVEZ: I thought you said the contents.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I thought it was to
the building and Mr. Hale observed that it might have been
for the contents, and rather than get into the position
of characterizing the nature of the lawsuit, I produced
the Plaintiff's Petition, the Defendant's answer, and the
Policy and let the folks draw whatever conclusions they
want from it.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair would suggest that this exhibit no. 4 be stapled with an ACCO-press binder so we can keep the sequence of all the pages and not lose any of them.

You may proceed, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you. Carrillo No. 6, Mr. Chairman, now constitute those shecks, the subject matter of Mr. Donaldson's inquiry, January, February and March, that were issued to Zertuche Store and show the statements and the deposit slips and they are comparable

and tie in to the exhibit, prior Exhibit 28, that has been previously introduced. They are there for those fraction of a year, the tax year having ended, however, 3 on December 31st, 1970. And I offer it as Carrillo-6. 4 (The document referred to

was marked "CAR-6" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Those are the checks for January, February and March of '71, now?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: After the store went out of business?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. I don't know the accounting aspect of it, Mr. Hale, if the Accountant chose to close his tax year in March, or as he did, he chose to close the calendar year basis for the Zertuche Store December 31st, '70 and then report these into Farm and Ranch and they're there. They're there; the deposit slips are there and I have so produced them for the Committee.

Carrillo 7-

MR. DONALDSON: Question on that .---

CHAIRMAN HALE: May we see 6 for a moment?

Where is Carrillo 6?

5

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Mitchell, are these the only copies you have of Exhibit 6? Is that in the same category as Exhibit 4?

MR. MITCHELL: Hopefully, I'll be given a copy of the entire record and the Committee is welcome to keep that one, Mr. Hale. I'm thinking I'm going to get a full copy of all of the exhibits anyway. Am I not?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well, these were the ones that -- this 4 and 6 are the ones that the Clerk said that they would not reproduce on the Xerox machine. That's why I was concerned about No. 6

MR. MITCHELL: Yes I have an extra set of those, Mr. Hale. They're Zertuche Store, limited existence only during these months, sales that prior to that time went into Zertuche general account went into Farm and Ranch, attached to the deposit slips for those months identifying deposits from the various county agents. I can let the Committee have the copy that it has. I'll just keep this one.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That will be fine. Mr. Donaldson, did you have a question?

MR. DONALDSON: Do you have any tax returns for either Zertuches, Arturo or the other one, for the tax year '71?

MR. MITCHELL: No, I don't, Mr. Donaldson.

They were not available to me because they were not relevant. There was no way I could tie them into that.

MR DONALDSON: Do you have any exhibit or

any evidence you intend to offer to answer this teasing little question that has been posed by those checks?

1 1

2

3

- 6

5

6

8

O

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Well, tease me and I'll see. I mean, I don't understand.

MR. DONALDSON: Well, there has to be some rationale by way of accounting or otherwise for the deposit of those checks in the account of Farm and Ranch during '71.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR DONALDSON: Which as I understand, the evidence that we have had before us to this point is that Judge Carrillo owned an interest in Farm and Ranch Supply.

MR. MITCHELL: No question about it.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ DONALDSON: So we do have three months of county warrants deposited to that account.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right, Mr. Donaldson. Those are the facts. As I say, those are the facts and I'll have to live with them. January, February and March, those checks—

MR. DONALDSON: You don't have any further documentation by way of accounting procedures, by tax returns for the Zertuches for '71, or anything else that would cast any light on the reason for that procedure of handling those checks?

MR. MITCHELL: No. But I do have the

o. P. Carrillo tax return to show that the income reflected from the Farm and Ranch, which was a partnership return, was oicked up on the individual O. P. Carrillo, and that's my 7th and 8th.

MR. DONALDSON: Referring back then to my original question on the other tax returns, in reference to that tax return for Judge Carrillo for '71, you would have the supporting documents for the partnership return filed on Farm and Ranch?

MR. MITCHELL: That's right.

MR. DONALDSON: That should reflect whether or not those were accounted in income for that partnership?

MR MITCHELL: Income. That's right.

MR. DONALDSON: Okay.

Mr. Chairman, I would certainly like to have those made available to the Committee for-

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I'm going to make them as an exhibit. I've already marked them, Mr. Donald-son.

My next exhibit is Carrillo No. 7, which is the individual tax return for 1972, reporting '71 income, Mr. O. P. Carrillo, showing income of Mr. Carrillo, '71, which would include the distributive share of the partnership, Farm and Ranch, on the appropriate schedule provided for in the return, and I likewise, just out of abundance

of precaution, have Carrillo 8, which is his '73 return, which shows the continuation of the picking up of that income from Farm and Ranch. But the cessation, except perhaps with one or two minor exceptions, of any income from the county agencies there after the March 1971 date. I offer 7 and 8.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Let the Chair as a question on that.

From your examination of these, did Judge

Carrillo report his income on a calendar year basis?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you. Mr. Kaster has a question.

MR. KASTER: Mr. Mitchell, in regards to Exhibit 7, which is the 1040, and I specifically refer to Schedule C, it shows "services of attorney" with depreciation shown on the next page, and he was depreciating a Cadillac apparently used in—

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. KASTER: —as an attorney in his business as attorney.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. KASTER: It shows a net profit from services as an attorney as sixteen fifty-one, forty-three.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes

MR. KASTER: This was shown on the financial statement filed with the Secretary of State-

MR. MITCHELL: I understand

MR. KASTER: Now, it was testified just a little while subsequent to that, that that was a mistake, that there really were no income from attorney, and yet here it is showing up here on this income tax return.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. I have-

MR. KASTER: Now, is this a mistake, too?

MR. MITCHELL: No. Mr. Kaster, I have

talked to the accountant specifically about those items, and hopefully we'll have either a statement from him or him personally, his explanation. If you'd care for me to repeat what he said as accurately as I can, his explanation was that quite frequently after an attorney goes to a public office, such as a judgeship, that there is income that comes in, and in this particular case because he felt like it was income earned prior to the time that he became a judge, he carried it over as attorney's fees.

made that label. If you were going to characterize it more accurately, would you please do so for the Committee.

And he's in the process of doing it, and I was hopeful

And I told him, well, it was very embarrassing that you

of having that exhibit, Mr. Kaster.

MR. KASTER: Yes, because the income was

almost six thousand dollars before the depreciation of the Cadillac.

-4

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I understand. I will hopefully have an exhibit from the accountant on the precise method by which he chose to label those, and of course, not knowing that there would be a question raised at this end of the funnel, so to speak, as regards those characterizations, he loosely characterized them as "attorney's fees." But it's quite possible that he will be able to determine they were attorney's fees earned during the year 1970-'69, and so forth, that were collected during the first year that Judge Carrillo took the bench.

May I offer 7 and 8? Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Kaster.

MR. KASTER: Well, in '73 the same thing shows up.—

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. KASTER: —except there was no income shown, but depreciation. Then he showed a loss from attorney's fees. I can't see what the figure is—six hundred and something dollars.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. I haven't chosen to edit these at all, Mr. Kaster. They are the facts and hopefully we will clear them up as the questions arise. And that question didn't arise until about Thursday, and I visited with him Friday, and so I hopefully will have

that.

I

-4

 If I could offer 7 and 8, which will be the individual tax returns, '72, '73 for 0. P. Carrillo, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That will be fine.

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-7" and "CAR-8" for identification.)

a rubber stamp on the return, on page one of the return, "Kirkland and Smith, CPAs, Alice, Texas." The Chair would ask if the application for automatic extension of time was signed by Oscar D. Kirkland. Is Oscar D. Kirkland the member of that firm who actually prepared these returns?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. And he has been throughout the period of the returns that I have offered to this Committee. He has been the accountant. May I state to the Committee that there are various taxable entities. In addition to those, there are of course the R. Carrillo and Sons; there are the Zertuche Store; there are the O. P. Carrillo individual; there are the Ramiro Carrillo individual. All these returns were prepared by the same man.

CHAIRMAN HALE: So that if we needed testimony with respect to these, Mr. Kirkland would be the proper individual.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. And if the Chairman will note, I requested a subpoena of him, to have him available in the event that there were questions that would arise by reason of these documents.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you. You may proceed.

Are there any questions? Mr. Donaldson.

MR. DONALDSON: These cover '72 and '73, Mr. Mitchell. Do you have '71?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I have them all, Mr. Donaldson, and I'll make them all available, including as I say, the check input and check output on all of them, and I'll be very glad to furnish them to the Committee.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ DONALDSON: We would like to see the '71, I'm sure.

MR. MITCHELL: The tax return for '71?

MR. DONALDSON: Tax year '71.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well now, the '71 calendar

year would be reported in the 1972 return-

MR. MITCHELL: Right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: -which you have.

MR. MITCHELL: Which you have.

MR DONALDSON: But the way I read these returns, the one marked '72 is for the calendar year ending December 31, '72.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I should have produced the one for the critical months that you have in mind, Mr. Donaldson. I thought the '71-the '72 return would report the '71 income. And if it hasn't-

MR. DONALDSON: According to the information at the top of the form, it says for the year January 1 through December 31, 1972, or other taxable year, and there's no indication that it would be any other taxable So I think it would probably the one for the '71-

MR. MITCHELL: Right. I'll produce the tax return showing the income January 1, 1971-December 31st, '71.

> MR. DONALDSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Can you produce that one then, Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: Again, Mr Mitchell, returningthat brings an interesting question. I notice the date it was filed was sometime in 1973. That's Carrillo Exhibit No. 7, which would have been then, for income during 1972. Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry, Mr. Kaster. Ι was writing down a note to produce Mr. Carrillo's January 1st, '71-December 31st-I missed the first part of your question. I'm sorry.

MR. KASTER: Your exhibit, Carrillo No. 7.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: I want to establish this now, and I turn to the second page where it says "Form 1040, 1972," and looking just above the rubber stamp "Kirkland and Smith" I see a date, looks like 4-6-73, as best as I can see that.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. It appears to be that.
MR. KASTER: So that income then is for

income in 1972. Is that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: I thought I had pulled the income for '71 until Mr. Donaldson questioned me, and now I'll have to examine it, if you'll give me just a minute.

MR. KASTER: Well, if that's the case, I'll let you determine that first.

MR. MITCHELL: I'm going to produce the '71, January 1st to December 31st.

MR. KASTER: If in fact this is income for 1972, then I again refer to Schedule C, "attorney's services" in 1972, of income of \$5,880.22, and then there's depreciation taken, a net income of sixteen hundred and fifty-one, forty-three in the year of 1972.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. That's what the schedule states, Mr Kaster.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ KASTER: That's a year after he became District Judge.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. I understand it.

MR. KASTER: And Mr. Kirkland is going to

exolain that?

MR MITCHELL: Well, yes, and I might point out, for example, Mr. Kirkland, being an accountant, of course is not acquainted I'm sure with the niceties as regards these designation as it appears. He reports them as an attorney, period, on his occupation, which the correct designation probably should be judge.

MR. KASTER: The point is the Judge signs the return, when he's satisfied.

MR. MITCHELL: I understand. Oh, I understand that.

MR. KASTER: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Kaster, may I, in statement of that, that question has been bothering some of the members of the Committee, and I would like, without being inappropriate, I would like to submit a brief on the question of the rights of a District Judge to practice law during the term of his office, under certain limitations. It is not, of course, illegal for him to practice law under certain limitations. Not that I'm saying that that's—but it does seem to be a question, and appropriately

so, that's concerning some of the Committee—but there are instances when he can practice law and can do it ethically and appropriately. And if I could have leave of the Chair to do so, I would like to submit a brief on that matter.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We would be happy for you to submit anything you want on it, Counselor.

MR. MITCHELL: May I proceed; Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN HALZ: Yes.

MR. MITCHELL: I'm going to produce the January 1, '71 to December 31st, '71 tax return.

I have now Carrillo 9 through and including 42 certain checks which I would like to offer into the record and then hopefully be able to tie in at a later date. They include ranch labor, Rogelio Garcia, Fred Pilon, Patricio Garza, commencing the years 1967, coming forward. Carrillo 10 being the same as regards 1969, Carrillo 11, 1970; Carrillo 12, 1971; Carrillo 13, being 1972; Ranch Labor, Carrillo 14, showing checks to Patricio Garza. These are checks on his personal account, Judge Carrillo's personal account, payable to Pat Garza, Fred Pilon and the various members of the cast of—that have come before this Committee. Pilon checks also appear in Carrillo 15, personal checks, 1973, Carrillo 16, '74, '75; Ranch Labor, being to Pilon, Garza, and the various

gentlemen whose name have been before the Committee.

Building materials, Carrillo 17. Eighteen is gasoline; 19, butane, ranch purchases '73, '74, '75; building materials, Carrillo 20, '74; Carillo 21, building materials and supplies. Store carpentry work, that is for the building of a store that has been part of the evidence, and I have in that connection requests for subpoena for Gabriel Gonzalez, the gentleman who built it, hopefully to tie in that exhibit.

Ranch groceries ___

CHAIRMAN HALE: What exhibit is that?

MR. MITCHELL: 22. Carrillo 23 being
ranch grocieries, '74; Carrillo 24, ranch gas, '73; Carrillo
25 being ranch gas, '72; Carrillo 26 being gas, '72;
Carrillo 27 being ranch groceries, '72, all on personal
checks; Carrillo 28 being butane for '72; Carrillo 29
being ranch supplies, '72; Carrillo 30 being ranch supplies, '71; Carrillo 31 being gas, '71; Carrillo 32 being
groceries, '71, Carrillo individual; Carrillo 34 being
ranch lumber and materials; Carrillo 35 being gas, 1970;
Carrillo 36 being ranch repairs, '69; ranch supplies '69
is Carrillo 27; gasoline is Carrillo 38; groceries, Carrillo 39 for '69; ranch groceries, Carrillo 40, for '67,
1967; Carrillo 42, gasoline for 1967—and may I hand these
to the Reporter for introduction, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes, you may.

(Documents referred to were marked "CAR-9" through "CAR-42" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: In connection with Exhibits I through 42, Mr Mitchell, the Chair was trying to keep up with your remarks as we went along. It's your feeling that we would need testimony from Oscar D. Kirkland and from Gabriel Gonzalez with respect to those exhibits?

MR. MITCHELL: I think the Chairman and the members would have some questions of the accountant, and I really want him up here as much for my benefit as for the Committee's benefit, to ask any questions. And Mr Gonzalez will hopefully identify the store job that is pictured and has been introduced into evidence as distinct from all of this paneling that Mr. Hendricks has been very curious about with those pretty nails, as distinct from a municipal job, a city hall job that was done a year later, and I think I would like to have the Committee make that distinction. It will tie in with some exhibits that I have that are purchases for the material going into that one building. Yes, sir?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there other witnesses that would have information that you think we should call with respect to Exhibits 1 through 42?

MR. MITCHELL: Cleofas Gonzalez, I would like

23

24

25

town?

to question him as regards the structuring of some of those tax returns, if the Committee wants to hear about them. I would like to clarify some misimpressions he might have left in his testimony.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is that the Cleofas Gonzalez that previously testified?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. sir.

MR. KASTER: One question. Mr. Mitchell said there was a repair job, os some paneling work done on a city hall?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

MR. KASTER: Where is the city hall? What

MR. MITCHELL: I understand it's there in Benavides. Yes, Benavides, Mr. Kaster.

MR. KASTER: The city hall in Benavides?

MR. MITCHELL: Some municipal building.

MR. KASTER: Somebody will be able to answer the question, where is the city hall?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

MR. KASTER: Because when I was down there yesterday, I didn't see any city hall. I was curious.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Kaster, you're probably more informed than I am, and I'm probably violating on the basic rules that a lawyer shouldn't violate, but I'm just

saying to the Committee that I want to offer a witness 1 that will-2 3 4 MR. MITCHELL: 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 MR. KASTER: 12 like to have done. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 MR. KASTER: 20 shape. 21 MR. MITCHELL: 22

23

24

25

MR. KASTER: Answer those questions? Right. And then I also have in connection with that an auditor's certificate that was not brought up here with those checks, that I understand is a part of the record. And there again, that's hearsay. That the materials, those specific materials were to be delivered to that job. And those are the lists that the Committee members went through. I'm curious about it because a county fixing a city hall is something my city would MR. MITCHELL: Well, either that or it was denominated city hall in the title within the county. Of course, Mr. Kaster, my position is if they're spending it on the city hall, as long as Judge Carrillo doesn't have a deed to that city hall. I'm in good shape. If he does, you're in bad I am. That's right. CHAIRMAN HALE: Does he have a deed to the city hall?

MR. MITCHELL: He doesn't that I know of.

MR. DONALDSON: Mr. Chairman, could I ask

Mr. Mitchell just one general question.

,

ţ

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson has a question.

MR. DONALDSON: In relation to these exhibits, particularly those that relate to expenditures for groceries, ranch supplies, labor, and those kind of things, now, I'm curious as to just to what extent do you intend that as proof that he did in fact make some expenditures for groceries, for labor, or is it your purpose in introducing these documents that that was his total expenditures for those years, and that he didn't buy any other groceries? I assume that those are introduced to refute previous testimony that we have heard concerning grocery bill accounts.

MR. MITCHELL: Right. Partially.

MR. DONALDSON: And my question is, to what extent do you offer these checks relating to the groceries, for example?

MR. MITCHELL: Let's take, Mr. Donaldson, the Pilon checks. Mr Fred Pilon—testimony had been introduced by the Starr County contingency, was an employee interpreter, I believe, of the court in Starr County, and that there was some waterhauling. Well, the reason for my introducing of those checks is that there was work done by Mr. Pilon that was private work done, but that the work was not done at the expense of the county, but was paid for by the Judge.

2 4

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. DONALDSON: Okay.

MR. MITCHELL: Moving up the ladder. Patricio Garza, the gentleman who spoke through the interpreter, testimony very confusing as to whether he was or wasn't an employee. The county paid him. He worked weekends for the Judge. Those checks coincide with his employment with the county, will reflect work done, paid for by Judge Carrillo, at the time he testified to, were paid for by Judge Carrillo. As to his testimony, that for the year 1973 that he worked for Judge Carrillo, full time and that his testimony was that his salary was \$225 a week, those checks are there.

Now, as to the grocery bills, is precisely-I offered those to show that Judge Carrillo paid his own grocery bills, and I'm just as much curious about the checks going to Cash Store as the Committee is, but that the checks, as the Committee will note, not only go to the Cash Store, but about three or four other grocery stores.

> MR. DONALDSON: Right.

MR. MITCHELL: Then, in addition, there's always been the over-reaching implication of the use of county labor, equipment, etc , and then the offer of those others are to show that the gasoline, the man bought and paid for his own gasoline, large bills there showing and

all even amounts.

that of course is sort of negative testimony to establish the positive fact that he wasn't sucking that county tit, you see.

MR. DONALDSON: Right.

MR. MITCHELL: Now, on Carrillo 43, 1f I might continue, Mr. Hale, this is the store, now. This is the little store that pictures have been introduced in.

MR. KASTER: I have one further question on Exhibit 23, which I think Mr. Donaldson was asking about, about those checks to the Cash Store into other grocery stores. I'm curious that all those to the Cash Store are in even amounts, \$25, \$75, \$25, \$100, \$50, \$15, \$50; but here is Ben's Grocery Store, \$92.98, Kroger's \$84.14. How come the other stores there are pennies involved, and to the Cash Store, I'm curious as to why it's

MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry, Mr. Kaster, I have no personal knowledge and I would have the same curiosity as on those little slips that many of the members asked about, why they were in 20 and why they were in 15 and why they were in 5. I just don't know. Those are the records.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Hendricks?

MR. HENDRICKS: Just in looking at that,

these might corroborate another witness, Mr. Mitchell,

being in even figures like that.

-4

MR. MITCHELL: I understand.

MR. HEMDRICKS: There's only one person that could testify according to this and put it on a defensive basis. Do you plan to call that person?

MR. MITCHELL: Well, that's the reason I'm offering the testimony, Mr. Hendricks, as I stated, and as the Committee is astute enough to understand the position—I'm producing the material and there it is.

MR. HENDRICKS: Thank you.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, may I proceed?

Now, 43 deals with the store. I tried to extract the purchase of the cement by check dated September 3rd, '73.

This is Carrillo 43. That's the purchase of the cement.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do we have copies of 43?

I don't believe the Committee has been supplied with copies of 43 yet.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, it has the balance of the checks, showing the total expenditures in connection with the store. That is, the construction of the store. And if I might be permitted, I have a witness in the hearing room that will give me the invoice of the delivery of that cement. May I be permitted to get the invoice and have it reproduced and make it a part of Carrillo 43,

Mr. Hale?

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes.

ì

-1

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, I have Carrillo 43 that I will offer in connection with the cement, labor, etc., and also I've been handed by Mr. Ronnie E. Guerra, the seller of the cement, of his Invoice No. 28186, which I would like to have made a part of Carrillo's No. 43, with permission that it would be reproduced and returned to him, showing the shipment of the cement to the Farm and Ranch Store, and for which the check in the amount of a thousand and fifty dollars was made payable to Mr. Ronnie Guerra, September 3rd, 1973. The two transactions tie in together.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-43" and "CAR-43A" for identification.)

(A letter was marked "COMM-60" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I have the originals of the photographs, 5A, that show the damage to the store out of which the lawsuit arose. And these are the only ones I have. I would like to offer them as 5A and have the Committee look at them if they desire and return them to me, if they don't mind.

(Photographs referred to were marked "CAR-5A" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: That would be fine.

Mr. Mitchell, when were these pictures taken?

MR. MITCHELL: I've been informed—they
were taken in connection with the trial itself.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What year was chat? Do you recall?

MR. MITCHELL: It should be there on the photographs. I don't have them in front of me.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Was it prior to 1970?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes

CHAIRMAN HALE: Prior to 1970.

Well, the Chair will admit those photographs in evidence for whatever value they may have. If they were taken back prior to 1970, I'm not sure exactly what value they have, but whatever value they have, they will be admitted in evidence.

(The exhibit marked "CAR-5A" for identification was received in evidence.)

MR. MITCHELL: May I offer Carrillo No. 44, Mr. Chairman. The Carrillo No. 44 are the checks for the purchase of a 1966 diesel water truck by Judge Carrillo, his personal checks being of April of 1975, along with the purchase of wheels for the truck and two gas tanks for the truck, along with pictures of the truck.

(Documents referred to were marked "CAR-44" for identification.) ı

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

ell.

23

24

3

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kaster?

MR. KASTER: Mr. Mitchell, do you know if there are any exterior shots of the building, Exhibit 5A?

MR. MITCHELL: I don't. I can ask my client, and if there are I can produce them.

MR.KASTER: Because these could be any building in the world. I mean, we don't have any way to identify them.

MR. MITCHELL: That was the reason I made the statement, or requested the information that they were introduced in connection with the trial and so they could have been authenticated as a predicate for the introduction at the trial. If I could have permission just to ask my client.

MR. KASTER: If we could have some exterior shots, we could see what the building looked like.

MR. MITCHELL: I've been informed there are no exterior shots, but they were made in connection with the trial.

May I proceed, Mr. Hale?

CHAIRMAN HALE: You may proceed, Mr. Mitch-

MR. MITCHELL: The next exhibit is Carrillo 45, and it is a it's a composite exhibit. It shows checks payable to Mr. Ken Bercaw from the Duval County

Ranch Company, November 15th, 1972 through February 29th, 1974, indicating that Mr. Bercaw was paid a retainer of six hundred dollars per month by the Duval County Ranch Company, and one of the services for which he was employed was that he was on a retainer to negotiate reduction in taxes to Duval County and the Benavides Independent School District; partially as a result of his efforts, the taxes due to both Duval County and Benavides Independent School District were reduced, as evidenced by the minutes of Commissioner's Court for February 14th, '75, and the tax receipts dated February 12th, 1975, issued by the Benavides Independent School District as evidence for the payment for the 1973-1974 taxes by the Duval County Ranch Company.

I have here in Carrillo's No. 45, the checks payable to Mr. Ken Bercaw from Duval County Ranch Company, beginning and ending the dates that I mentioned; that is November 15, 1972, February 29, 1974, and a letter from the Executive Vice President of the Duval County Ranch Company on that stationery, and that is Exhibit 45.

(Exhibit 5A was returned to Mr. Mitchell.)

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-45" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do any of the members of the Committee want to see those photographs which were

Ł

submitted as Exhibit 5-A?

4.

(The photographs were presented to Ms. Wedding-ton.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: If there is no objection, the Chair is going to permit Mr Mitchell to withdraw those photographs, since those are the only photographs he has on 5-A, with the understanding they will be available to the Committee if we need them for any purpose, Mr. Mitchell.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HALE: It's the interior of the store, taken back in connection with the lawsuit of which you have a complete set of papers on in one of these exhibits, Exhibit CAR-5.

Mr. Mitchell, from this point, starting with Exhibit 44, none of these exhibits have yet been reproduced to members of the Committee. Rather than delay our proceedings here, the Chair is going to instruct the Clerks to do that tonight after the Committee has recessed so we can go ahead and not delay our proceedings today.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, the next one I think will be of interest to the Committee. It's Carrillo No. 46. It's the records of the Duval County Ranch Company, reflecting that all taxes due the Benavides Independent School District by the Duval County Ranch Company are

paid through the year 1974. As evidence of the payment, there is a tax certificate from the Tax Collector for the Benavides Independent School District, certifying that all the taxes through the year 1974 are duly paid, tax for the years 1973 and 1974 were paid by Duval County Ranch Company Check No. 2428, dated February 11th, 1975, in the amount of \$34,724.26. Tax receipts no. 2889, 2890 and 510 are for the payment of the 1973 taxes, and tax receipts 800, 801 and 3767 are for the years 1974.

ζ

Carrillo's 46 has a copy of the checks to the Tax Assessor-Collector for \$88,060, February '73; Tax Collector, 1975, of 12,058; Tax Collector, sixty-two thousand, five hundred and thirty-nine, forty-three. Plus the Commissioner's Court Docket, reflecting the payment of those taxes, plus a tax certificate for the entire period of time, showing the taxes are paid as indicated by the opening statement.

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-46" for identification.)

MR. MALONEY: I haven't seen that exhibit yet I don't know whether we've got it or not. Can you tell me, are those documents obtained from the tax office or from Duval County Ranch?

MR. MITCHELL: No. Some of those are the official Commissioner's Court minutes, and some of them

I got from calling the Executive Vice President—that is, 1 2 the checks, returned checks. It was a composite, the returned checks and the statement I got through the 3 Executive Vice President, and his name appears on the 4 letter that I read. 5 6

MR. MALONEY: What is his name?

MR. MITCHELL: Morris E. Ashby, Executive

Vice President.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MALONEY: Is that the same Morris Ashby that was appointed to the School Board or what was it, Grand Jury?

MR. MITCHELL: I don't know. I think he was everything. I think the testimony indicates-I don't know.

MR. MALONEY: He was appointed to the School Board, wasn't he, to take Mr. Bercaw's place?

MR. MITCHELL: And he was also on the Grand Jury, Commissioner and President of the School Board, the testimony indicated.

MR. MALONEY: Could I see that a second, as soon as the Reporter has marked it. The one on the taxes.

MR. MITCHELL: There are two on the taxes, Mr. Maloney, 45 and 46.

MR. MALONEY: Mr. Mitchell, on this latest

draft that was drawn on February 10th, 1975 for \$62,000-

 MR. MALONEY: —I notice that this is not a check. This is a copy of the check that supposedly was sent. But it is not a copy of the check itself.

MR: MITCHELL: Yes. As the statement reflects, the check was returned because of the necessity of the Comptroller's approval of the reduction of those taxes, and pending of the receiving of the Comptroller's ruling that the reduction of the Commissioner's Court was accurate. From the statement there, the check was withheld, and hopefully when that Comptroller's approval of the reduction is received, as I understand their procedure, is that it will be paid.

MR. MALONEY: Do you know whether this check, when it was sent in in '75, bounced?

MR. MITCHELL: I read an account on it in the Corpus Christi paper, and I read the followup account that it was not. It was actually intentionally stopped—stop payment was made because, as I understood the law, if it were negotiated without the Comptroller's acceptance of the reduction in tax, that would not have constituted payment of the tax, without that reduction.

MR. MALONEY: Well, wasn't it returned, payment stopped, because Mr. Manges wanted it to be payment

in full, and the receipt that was sent to him said "partial payment"?

MR. MITCHELL: I don't know.

MR. MALONEY: Of course, you wouldn't know about that. You weren't present at any of those.

MR. MITCHELL: No, I don't.

MR. MALONEY: But you don't intend, in your offer of proof on these, for this to indicate that the taxes have been paid for 1975, do you?

MR. MITCHELL: No, I don't, Mr. Maloney.

MR. MALONEY: But that a tender had been

made.

MR. MITCHELL: The minutes from the meeting, which are to be read in connection with the offer, indicate that there was such a matter brought before the Commissioner's Court, and that the tax was in fact reduced by the Commissioner's Court. But as I understand the procedure, that reduction of tax has to be approved by the Comptroller, so that there are documents there which would indicate official action by the Commissioners, or the School District.

MR. MALONEY: All right. That was my next question. The ones we're talking about here are county taxes, and not school district taxes?

MR. MITCHELL: That's right. The documents

19 20 21

23

Mr. Mitchell?

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Kascer.

speak to that point.

MR. KASTER: Mr. Mitchell, when you're referring to the Comptroller approving these, is that-

MR. MITCHELL: That one item, yes sir.

MR. KASTER: That's the Comptroller-is that Bob Bullock?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. That's the State Comptroller has to approve, as I understand the procedure, Mr. Kaster, he has to approve that reduction made by the Commissioner's Court before it is binding.

MR. KASTER: He was the former attorney for Mr. Manges?

MR. MITCHELL: I don't know.

MR. MALONEY: This was a matter that is pending now before Mr. Bullock, is that what you're saying?

> MR. MITCHELL: Right.

MR. MALONEY: I believe Mr. Bullock formerly represented Mr. Manges. Isn't that correct?

MR. MITCHELL: In answer to the question

from Mr. Kaster, I do not know whether he did or not.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you want to proceed.

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

The next series of exhibits deal with the

question of the testimony as regards the influence,
supposedly influence, that was exercised by Judge Carrillo
in his official capacity. First I want to offer—these
are all official records of the Duval County. First is
the Duval County Ranch Company vs. Judge J. W. Baumgartner.
The attorney for Duval County Ranch Company was the
ubiquitous Mr. M. K. Bereaw, Jr. again.

The docket sheet reflects that the suit was filed by Mr. Bercaw for the Duval County Ranch Company, Cause No. 8707, July 5th, '73, and reflects a docket entry date of 9-13-73, wherein Judge Carrillo recused himself from the case.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-47" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: The next is Cause No. 8591, civil docket, case filed May 2nd, 1972, Duval County Ranch Company vs. the Speedman Oil Company, et al. Complete docket, Carrillo No. 48 reflects Judge Carrillo recused himself 9-24-73, and Judge Alamia, the Administrative Judge for that District, appointed a successor judge who continued with the case.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-48" for identification.)

MR. MALONEY: May I see that, please?

Thank you.

MR. MITCHELL: May I go out of order for one, Mr. Chairman, to Carrillo 50?

Carrillo 50 is a statement signed by Manuel Amaya, Jr., and a statement signed by the Executive Vice President of the Duval County Ranch Company, Mr. Manuel Amaya, Jr., stating he has never received any money or anything else of value from the Duval County Ranch Company or Clinton Manges. "Neither have I requested nor have been promised any money or anything else of value from the Duval County Ranch Company or Clinton Manges. I presented a request to the Duval County Reclamation and Conservation District to have a water line installed for the Duval County Ranch Company as a favor for a taxpayer, and I did not receive any fee or promise of a fee for this favor."

The corresponding documentation is from the Executive Vice President of the Duval Ranch Company. He checked the records from July of '73 to November of '73, he was Assistant Secretary for the company, as as such he had charge of the books and records of Duval County Ranch Company, and those of Mr. Clinton Manges. He has examined the books and records of the Duval County Ranch Company and Mr. Clinton Manges for the years, 1972, '73, '74, '75 to date, to the best of his knowledge. Mr. Manuel Amaya, Jr. is not now and has never been an employee of the Duval

.24

County Ranch Company or Mr. Olimbon Manges and no payments of any kind have been hade to Mr. Manuel Amaya, Jr. for the Duval County Ranch Company or Clinton Manges during the years 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975 to date.

Neither has Mr. Monuel Amaya, Jr. ever presented any request for payment to the Daval County Ranch Company or Clinton Manges during the period examined. Carrillo No. 50.

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-50" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: Carrillo No. 49, I took out of order. It simply shows purchase of equipment by Judge Carrillo and will hopefully tie into the Schedule of Depreciation on the tax returns which I have furnished, to show his own equipment, Mr. Chairman. May I have it marked Carrillo 49?

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-49" for identification.)

MR. MIT CHELL: Carrillo No. 51 is a letter,
Judge J. R. Alamia, presiding judge, 5th Administrative
Judicial District, dated May 23, 1973, with reference to
Cause No. 3953, Clinton Manges vs. M. A. Guerra, et al,
wherein Judge Alamia states Judge Carrillo disqualified
himself to serve in the above captioned cause, and he has assigned Judge Harville. May I offer Carrillo 51?

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-51" for identification.)

MR. Maloney: May I see that exhibit, please? Did you accidentally mistate the letter from Judge Alamia where it said Judge Carrillo has disqualified himself. That's not technically correct, was it? He was disqualified by a hearing at which he opposed. Isn't that correct?

I

·į

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Maloney, I was quoting Judge Alamia's letter. Judge Alamia's letter—I was being terrible, as a matter of fact. Judge Alamia says he has disqualified himself and I think the evidence showed that there was a motion to disqualify in the hearing pursuant to which he disqualified, and I, of course, knew that testimony but this was Judge Alamia's—

MR. MALONEY: The way I read the letter is
The Honorable O. P. Carrillo was disqualified to serve in
the above captioned cause. I don't see anything here that
says he disqualified himself.

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I don't want to get in a semantic argument with you, Mr. Maloney.

MR. MALONEY: Well, I was wondering if I had heard you correctly.

MR. MITCHELL: As a matter of fact, motions for disqualification are quite frequently filed. I have

about two pending now on the judges, as a matter of practice, to hear their own motions, and they determine on 2 their own whether they are disqualified or not, and the 3 only remedy we have is on appellate review. There, as 4 the Committee knows there was a hearing because the minutes 5 of that hearing are here, and I have part of the minutes I want to introduce; but he was disqualified there, I 7 believe, by the judge, pursuant to the hearing. 8

1

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: That's correct. Let the Chair ask a question. Was this the one in which Judge Magus Smith conducted a hearing?

> MR. MITCHELL: I think it is.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And an order was entered. I believe we had some previous testimony concerning that. This is on Clinton Manges versus M. A. Guerra. a hearing held on this, and Judge Alamia assigned Judge Magus Smith to conduct the hearing.

MR. MITCHELL: I have the full transcription of that hearing, Mr. Chairman, but not to burden the record unnecessarily I have extracted from it data in connection with other matters that are before the Committee particularly as to gifts, etc.; I'll be glad to tender the entire transcript after I have offered the transcripts that relate to Judge Carrillo's testimony, the Committee desires additional I have that. I'm representing to the

Committee I do, and I'll be glad to produce it

The next exhibit is Carrillo No. 52, which is composed of conditional sales contracts, security agreement, and checks for payment of a DA Cat Tractor with cable dozer and power unit No. 36A143 by Judge Carrillo, and the purchase of a DA Cat Tractor with cable dozer and power unit 36A-470. The exhibit is the conditional contract, the security agreement, the insurance agreement, the checks for payment—\$6,000.00, May 3, 1974 and \$2,549.00 April 22nd, 1975, etc. It's exhibit No. 52.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-52" for identification.)

I now have—these are going to have to be broken up. I now have Carrilo's Exhibit No. 53, which is composed of an order for the purchase of a 1971 Cadillac dealer's order 11-1070, San Antonio. The name of O. P. Carrillo. I have a deed from O. P. Carrillo to Clinton Manges and wife filed of record, public record, in the appropriate county. I have also the certificate of the clerk of the filing of that deed and correction deed, and I also have check from Clinton Manges to Carrillo, 1-27, the date is illegible on mine, but it's \$6,915.55. Plus, I have extracts from the record, the official record, and I have extracted Judge Carrillo's testimony and his examination by Mr. Smith, in connection with the same matter, same

subject matter in this qualification hearing before the court as regards prior testimony that has been submitted to the committee, and I represent to the Committee I have the entire record. I have not extracted it selectively or qualitatively, I have simply taken Judge Carrillo's testimony and if the Committee is interested in the entire record I have it. That's Carrillo's 53, related to the stock, that is the qualifying shares in the bank, balance, the Cadillac transaction, the grazing lease transaction, and the disqualification No. 3953.

(The document referred to was marked "CAR-53" for identification.)

May I also offer, in connection with the previous exhibit Number 53, Exhibits 57, 58, and 59, which
are the exhibits reflecting bankruptcey cause No. 69-B-9
pending in the Southern District of Texas Brownsville
Division, in rethe matter of M. Guerra and sons, a limited
partnership, showing that the matter was pending in the
bankruptcey court up and to and through January 6, 1971
and the order of transfer. And, of course, the significant
date being January 1, 1971 when Judge Carrillo has his
first certificate of election. And the date of the
order of the gift, of course, being November of 1970.

(Some documents were marked "CAR-57" through "CAR-59" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: What is the purpose of the Exhibits 57, 58 and 59?

MR. MITCHELL: As relates to the gift of the Cadillac, they indicate that there was a purchase in November of 1970, and that at that time, of course, the Judge had not taken his office, but at that time there was no way of anyone to know if there was any indication or intimation in the record that there was an exercise of influence on Judge Carrillo after he took office that the case was going to be transferred out and terminated in the Federal District Court, Mr. Chairman. The documents show that as a matter of fact the order of transfer occurred after Judge Carrillo took the bench and there was no way in the world for him or anybody, except maybe the Lord above, to know what that Federal referee in bankruptcy was going to do in that Guerra matter. And that's the reason we offer it.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is that the M. Guerra and Sons-

MR. MITCHELL: Versus.

CHAIRMAN HALE: -- are those the same ones who were the defendants in this case of Clinton Manges versus M. A. Guerra, et al?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. I guess they are. They are all the same, Mr. Hale, and that's the one he

| :

I

reclused himself from. And I guess that's the same breed of cats as the Mr. Guerra that has testified here previously. They are all kin to each other.

May I proceed with the next exhibit?

CHAIRMAN HALE: You may proceed.

MR. MITCHELL: The next exhibit, if permitted by the Chair and the Committee, is Carrillo's 53-A, which deals with the petitions for removal, Mr. Chairman, and the members of the Committee. The evidence shows that upon relation of an individual of Duval County at the instance of the District Attorney, petitions for removal were prepared and filed and, of course, I don't want to go through all of that testimony.

Thereafter, March 31, 1975, the persons named in those petitions for removal instituted Motions for Leave to File Petitions for Writs of Mandamus and a prohibition with the Supreme Court of the State of Texas being Cause No. B-5195. I have those for the Committee's examination, and the Order of the Supreme Court, dated shortly thereafter wherein the Motion for Petition to Leave, Writ of Mandamus, and prohibition were denied. In addition, I have Motion for Leave to File an Additional Petition for Mandamus. This one was on May 21st, while this hearing was going on, as a matter of fact. Mr. Archie Parr and some of those tandem persons named in those removal

actions filed, application for Leave to File Petition for Mandamus, Supreme Court, and I have as a part of Carrillo's Exhibit No. 53-A, a notation of the Clerk of the Supreme Court case of Parr v.Carrillo, Motion for Leave to File Petition for Mandamus this day overruled.

Also I would like to tender as part of that record, application by the same group on the docket of the Court of Civil Appeals of San Antonio, which preceded the last filing with the Supreme Court about a week, to accelerate the trial, and that the Court of Civil Appeals ordered the case tried May 19th. Judge Carrillo presented himself to try it on May 19th and that I would like to offer the record in that case to indicate that Mr. Marvin Foster, attorney for those very parties, asked that the case be postponed and then we presented ourselves up here the following day.

(Some documents were marked "CAR-53-A" for identification.)

I have now, in addition, Carrillo's No. 54. I would like to offer as part of the record, their official records, letter from Judge Joe R. Greenhill to Honorable Wesley Dice assigning him to try Cause No. 8898, Archie Parr vs. Dan Tobin, and the official docket sheet. This was the injunction suit. Archie Parr represented by Mr. Marvin Foster and that testimony, I think, indicated

that Judge Wesley Dice, who was assigned by Judge Greenhill, refused to issue the injunction.

In addition, I have a letter, May 5, '75,

Judge Joe Greenhill, the Supreme Court, to Wesley Dice,
assigning him to try 8901, First State Bank of San Diego
vs. County of Duval, the docket sheet showing the pendency
of that case; Judge Carrillo reclusing himself from the
trial in that case.

I have also Cause No. 8896, Juan Martinez, represented by Marvin Foster again, injunction suit against Dan Tobin, Jr., et al; case being assigned to Judge Wesley Dice, Wesley Dice presiding, and the docket sheet reflecting Judge Wesley Dice's refusal to issue the injunction and notice of appeal given by the agreed parties. Carrillo's No. 54, if it pleases the Committee.

(Some documents were marked "CAR-54" for identification.)

MR. MITCHELL: The next exhibit is

Carrillo's No. 55. It's taken in connection with Exhibit 55, previously introduced through the witness,

Marvin Foster, who hesitated to testify as to its

authenticity, but it was one handed to him by Mr. Guerra

on the M & R Motor Company, Hebbronville, Texas, dealing

with a Pontiac. It shows a purchase by O. P. Carrillo,

a Pontiac, personal check \$5,631.60 and Carrillo's 55 is

that check. \$5,631.60. I offer 55.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(The check referred to was marked "CAR-55" for identification.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: Could I see No. 55?

MR. MITCHELL: I would like to offer, if I might be permitted, Carrillo's No. 56.

CHAIRMAN HALE: This was on the Pontiac automobile now?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. That's the best I could ascertain, Mr. Hale.

Mr. Chairman, Carrillo's 56 is a letter from the State Judicial Qualifications Commission. It's signed by Mr. Morris S. Pipkin, Executive Director and Director of the State Judicial Qualifications Commission dated May 2, 1975, addressed to Judge Carrillo and it speaks for itself and it states that there has been information communicated to him that he had accepted an expensive gift, that he had accepted bank stock and had entered into an open leasing agreement, etcetera, and that if these facts were now to be taken into the procedure, so to speak, of the State Judicial Qualifications Commission and that he would like-that is Mr. Pipkin, would Judge Carrillo to comment on these matters in writing and would like to have an answer filed within fifteen days of the receipt of that letter, which letter is dated

1 M.
2 O
3 N
4 P
5 C
6 y
7 q
1

May 2, 1975, and enclosures are the rules for the removal or retirement of judges. I have attached to Carrillo's No. 56 Judge Carrillo's answer within the fifteen days provided for by the Commission, by the letter, and enclosure of supplementary material, tax returns for the year '73, tax returns for '74, etcetera, which were requested by Mr. Pipkin in behalf of the State Judicial Qualifications Commission. That's Carrillo's No. 56.

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-56" for identification.)

I would like to skip over to Carrillo's No. 63, if I might, Mr. Chairman. They are yard orders or supply orders on behalf of the Ranch and Supply Company to B. L. Morehouse Company, dated May of '74, right on through to January, showing order of materials delivered to the job site of the construction of the building, the photograph of which is before the Committee, showing the paneling, showing the pine, the siding, the job site delivery being twelve miles from the store on the Benavides Highway, that is, twelve miles from the Ranch and Supply. And a list of— I have marked some, but not to prejudice the—that would be, incidentally, Carrillo's 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, and they show COD payment on delivery. These went directly to the job site. That is to where the store was built and then that testimony is taken in tandem with

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and conjunction with the cement testimony and the material testimony. I think you can pretty well build a store building out of it all. They run for a period of time.

> (Some documents were marked "CAR-63" through "CAR-69" for identification.)

Mr. Chairman, I had previously asked to have Gabriel Gonzales in who did some of the work on that job and to get a divorce from my other paneling I want also that auditor's certificate as well as Mr. Gabriel Gon-Hopefully we can satisfy the Committee on where that paneling and all them pretty nails went.

Mr. Chairman, may I offer Carrillo's No. 60? Carrillo's No. 60 is the application for mandamus in Cause No. 8888 in the District Court, 229th Judicial District, styled Joe Coudert, et al versus Rudolfo Couling, Business Manager and Tax Assessor-Collector, Benavides Independent School District, filed sometime after March the 20th, 1975, and while I don't intend to characterize the pleadings, they speak for themselves, they are an attempt by Joe Coudert and the Caller-Times Publishing Company to secure a mandamus ordering the Business Manager and Tax Assessor-Collector of the Benavides Independent School District, Mr. Rudolfo Couling, to deliver, to make available under Article 6252-17a, the Revised Civil Statutes, governmental bodies, that is,

1 Ac
2 of
3 th
4 wi
5 of
6 an
7 on
8 th
9 ad
10 co
11 a
12 th
13 Pu

 Access to Information Act, the public records, the records of the Benavides School District. As I understand it, the mandamus was granted, but this is offered in connection with the testimony of the District Attorney previously offered as to the status of records, documents, etcetere, and the precipitating cause for which he testified was one of the precipitating causes for the institution of the actions to remove this gentleman named in this. In addition, I have been informed, although I do not have a copy, which I would like to reserve probably 60-A to get a copy of the application for writ of mandamus filed by the same party, Joe Coudert, et al, the Caller-Times Publishing against Mr. Manuel Solis, for an attempt to issue and secure issuance of a mandamus to get the public records of the School District.

(The documents referred to were marked "CAR-60" for identification. "CAR-60-A" reserved.)

I have Carrillo's No. 61 which is a copy of an article appearing in Corpus Christi Caller-Times Saturday, March 29, 1975, along with a photograph of Judge Carrillo leaving the courthouse under the protection of the Rangers, Captain John Wood and Gene Powell, offered in connection with previous testimony as to the conditions that were existent in the county at the time stated in the article

at or about the time the application for removal of those gentlemen that the testimony show kind of resisted.

(The article referred to was marked "CAR-61" for identification.)

I offer, Mr. Chairman, Carrillo 70 which is also an article from the same newspaper by Joe Coudert which shows at the time depicted in the article the searching of various persons by Sheriff Ramiro Perez, Constable Alonzo Lopez, including the Judge, showing again bearing upon the conditions existing in the county at the time that the District Attorney upon the relation of Jose Nichols sought to file petitions of removal under the Texas statutes. That is Carrillo's No. 70.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What is the date on that article, Counsel?

MR. MITCHELL: Saturday, March 29, 1975.

I believe the testimony showed that the petitions were filed, I believe, on the afternoon or sought to be filed on March 19th, were not filed until March 20th, so it would be within nine days conditions still existing necessitating searching of the various people in and out of the courthouse.

(The article referred to was marked "CAR-70" for identification.)

I also have now for Carrillo's No. 69 a letter

of agreement between Marvin Foster, Board of Trustees, addressed to the Board of Trustees, Benavides Independent School District, Benavides, Texas. "In accordance with our agreement"—this is signed by Marvin Foster, the statement is undated—"this bill is submitted as statement for services rendered and to be rendered relative to investigation by the IRS and Grand Jury of the district and the School Board. This statement contains three agreements only, fee for services, \$60,000. Please issue your checks payable as follows: One, Marvin Foster, \$35,000; two, Charles E. Orr, \$25,000. And I have also attached to Carrillo's 69, checks \$25,000, Charles Orr, \$35,000 to Marvin Foster. And another one, \$347.94 to Marvin Foster, "Expenses to Brownsville." Carrillo No. 69.

(The letter referred to was marked "CAR-69" for identification.)

Mr. Chairman, to be sure I comply with the requests of the various members of the Committee, I will produce Judge Carrillo's individual 1040 Tax Form that's been filed to show the income from January 1, 1971 to December 31, 1971.

I will produce for the Committee all of the input data on all of those Zertuche tax returns and, of course, the only portion of the tax returns that are

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

1) 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

relevant are the Schedule C's. If the Committee wants me to produce those I will produce those. I will produce the entire record of the proceedings in that disqualification matter.

I believe that concludes my documentary presentation, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Counsel, do you have live witnesses this afternoon that you would want to present?

MR. MITCHELL: I have one witness,

Mr. Ronald Guerra, who will verify the authenticity of that one check for the purchase of the cement, and will tie it in, Mr. Chairman, and I will make him available for questioning by the Committee.

I think there was a point made earlier in the testimony some week or two ago about cement and who it belonged to, etcetera, etcetera. So, I have gone to the trouble to dig him up. He sold it; he sold it to the Judge and got a check for it. He is here.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine. Do you want to call him at this time, then?

MR. MITCHELL: If I might.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Guerra, it's my duty as Chairman to advise you of your rights with reference to your testimony. You will be sworn to tell the truth and your failure to do so could subject you to prosecution for perjury. After you have completed your statement, members of the Committee may ask questions concerning your testimony. You must answer these questions trutnfully and your refusal to do so could subject you to punishment for contempt. You can refuse to answer questions only on the ground that such answers might incriminate you or tend to incriminate you in some way. You are privileged to have an attorney of your selection sit with and advise you as to your answers if you desire. The Chair will attempt to protect your rights at all times. Do you understand the advice I have given you?

MR. GUERRA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are you ready to testify?

MR. GUERRA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would you stand and raise your right hand?

(The witness was sworn by the Chair.)

MR. RONALDO E. GUERRA

was called as a witness by Committee and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q Please state your name and your mailing address.
- A My name is Ronaldo E. Guerra and my mailing

BY MR. MITCHELL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I hand you what's been previously marked and introduced as Carrillo No. 43, Mr. Guerra. Do you recognize the exhibit or copy of the exhibit?

I do.

l

)

3

ú

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Q

Yes, sir.

A

All right. Tell the Committee, please, sir, in your own words first of all starting specifically with a check dated September 3, 1973, for \$1,050.00.

A This is a check given to me by Mr. O. P. Carrillo in payment for 500 bags of cement. I received the check approximately on September 3, 1973 and I have a deposit slip showing my deposit of that check to my account in the First State Bank and Trust Company in Rio Grande City on September 4, 1973.

As part of that exhibit, Carrillo No. 43, there is a document and I think I perhaps miscalled it. It's labeled for identification purposes, Carrillo 43-A. I have it in front of you. Do you see it?

A Yes, sir.

Q Tell the Committee, please, what it is and what it purports to be.

This is a memorandum copy of a bill of lading showing the delivery of the 500 sacks of cement to Farm and Ranch Store on Main Street, Benavides, Texas, on August 15, 1973. This was given by the carrier to show delivery of the merchandise.

And you are stating under oath to the Committee 0 that you received an order for the cement; that you caused the cement to be delivered-

25

Q —you caused the billing to be made to Judge Carrillo and Judge Carrillo issued his check for which you deposited the check in your account?

A Yes, sir.

Yes, sir.

Α

Q You don't know anything about whether it went out to a store or nothing else about it after it got to the Farm and Ranch Store? You have no personal knowledge of any of the facts?

A No. I just know it was delivered there. That's all I know.

MR. MITCHELL: The Committee might have some further questions of the witness.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

- Q Mr. Guerra, this transaction occurred in 1973?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Is this the only business transaction which you had with Judge O. P. Carrillo?
- A As far as I can recall, yes. It's the only one I have ever had.
 - Q How did this transaction originate?
- A I'm going to give you an explanation of how I got involved in this transaction.
- Q Mr. Guerra, could I ask you to pull the mike over a little closer?

- 1
- A Yes, sir.

,

4

5

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

Theele Co

Q That's fine.

In the summer of 1973, the price of cement in Mexico was substantially less than the price of cement in the United States, and since I'm a broker and I deal in imports and exports, I had the opportunity to be able to buy cement in Mexico and resell it in the United States. Now, sometime in July of '73, through a mutual friend, Mr. Fred Pilon, I was asked if I could purchase cement in Mexico and import it for resale in the United States. Now, at that time the price of cement in Mexico was rising and by the time we finally negotiated the transaction the price in Mexico and the price in the United States was substantially the same, so instead of going to the trouble of importing cement from Mexico, which does involve considerable problems. I went to a friend of mine who works for Pablo Pena. Jr. and Son Construction Company in Rio Grande City and asked him if he could obtain cement for me at a good price, which he did. quoted me \$2.00 a sack which was just a few cents more than what the cement would cost in Mexico. I went ahead and negotiated for the purchase of that cement and cement for other persons with Mr. Gustavo Escobar and I have a check here showing the payment of the cement to Pablo Pena, Jr. and Sons Construction Company of Rio Grande City

and I have deposit slips showing the deposit of the O. P. Carrillo check on September 4, 1973.

Q My question went more to your relationship with Judge Carrillo. How did you know that Judge Carrillo was in the market for cement?

A Through Mr. Fred Pilon who is a good friend of mine, and knows my business.

Q What was the relations—what negotiations went on between you and Judge Carrillo prior to the time the purchase was completed?

A Practically none at all. I just took Mr. Pilon's word that Mr. Carrillo wanted the cement and that I would get paid for it.

- Q Did you know who Mr. Pilon was?
- A Oh, sure. Yes. I did.
- Q Who is he?

A Well, to begin with, he is a personal friend of mine and as far as I know he works as a court interpreter in Rio Grande City.

Q And he told you that Judge Carrillo wanted 500 bags of cement?

- A Yes, sir, he did.
- Q Did Judge Carrillo ever tell you he wanted 500 bags of cement?
 - A I never had any personal order from Mr. Carrillo.

No.

2

3

-4

6

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q On the basis of Mr. Pilon telling you that, you purchased the cement and shipped it to Judge Carrillo?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Where did you deliver the cement?

A I have the bill of lading here showing. I instructed Mr. Gustavo Escobar, who works in this construction company in Rio Grande City, through which I bought the cement, to have the cement delivered in Benavides at the Farm and Ranch Supply Store, which I don't know what it was. That's where I had the instructions for the cement to be delivered.

Q Did you submit a bill to Judge Carrillo for the cement?

A It was more like, I just told him what the amount was, since by the time we got through negotiating for the cement I wasn't going to make anything on it. I just paid Mr. Pablo Pena the same amount that I got from Mr. Carrillo for the cement.

- Q You paid \$1,050.00 for the cement?
- A Yes, the \$50.00 was for some demurage due on the trailers that were hauling the cement. Apparently they were delayed for half a day or a day on delivery and we had to pay \$50.00 demurage on it.
 - Q So you actually disbursed \$1,050.00 from your

11

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

21

20

22

24

25

account in payment for the cement?

- A I disbursed more than that. There was other cement that I paid for with the same check.
 - Q But on these particular 500 bags-
 - A \$1,050.00. Yes, sir.
- Q —as far as your accounting records show you paid \$1,050.00 for this cement?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And you turned around and delivered it to Farm and Ranch Supply in Benavides and for that you were only paid \$1,050.00?
 - A Yes, sir. That's correct.
- Q Do you have many transactions like this in your business?
- A No, I don't. I have others where I do make money.
- Q Why did you sell this to Judge Carrillo for the same price that you paid for it?
- A That was not the intention to begin with. I had quoted \$2.00 a sack because I had thought that I could get it in Mexico at a price where I could make some money on it. But since at the time the transaction was finally negotiated, the price of cement in Mexico went up and I stood by my first quotation. I finally was able to get it for \$2.00 a sack from Mr. Escobar. I broke even on

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

25

the transaction.

- Q What was the time differential between the day that Mr. Pilon told you that Judge Carrillo wanted 500 bags of cement and the date of delivery?
 - A I would say it was about three weeks.
 - Q How much cement is in each bag of cement?
- A I believe the ones that were delivered to

 Mr. Carrillo were 95 pounds net, which would be approximately 47.000 pounds of cement.
- Q Well, I don't know much about cement. How big a building will that build?
- A Well, it's 24 tons of cement so I imagine it would be sizeable. I don't know myself.
- Q Do you have many orders of cement in that magnitude?
 - A No. I had a few at that time.
- Q Did you get anything in writing from Mr. Pilon to confirm this order for Judge Carrillo?
 - A No, sir. I did not.
- Q And you knew Mr. Pilon only as a court interpreter?
- A As a personal friend. I know he is a court interpreter but he has been a friend of all of the family for a long, long time.
 - Q Do you know whether he does a lot of other work

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

line.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q

Q

A

Q

A

0

A

Carrillo.

charge.

Q

Q A

Right. 0

Α Plus \$50.00 demurage.

Now what do you mean by demurage? 0

Demurage is down time charged by the carriers A

24 25 whenever they have to remain at a location and cannot be unloaded through situations beyond their control. In other words, if the consignee fails to unload the carrier on time, any demurage or any expenses incurred by the carrier have to be paid by the consignee, which was the case here.

- Q So you then paid the \$50.00?
- A Yes. Yes, I paid it to Pablo Pena and Sons.
- Q And you billed Judge Carrillo for the \$1,050 that you were out?
 - A Yes.
- Q So then somewhere there should be a bill for another \$50.00 as regards this cement for sales tax. Is that correct?
- A Yes. I'm sure that Pablo Pena and Sons paid for it.
- Q So the Judge only paid you the \$1,050.00 that you billed him?
 - A Right.
- Q So there should be a bill then for another \$50.00 from Pena?
- A Yes. Well, Pena, everything was included in the price of \$2.00 a sack. I don't know if he paid the sales tax on it or not. It was a delivered price.
 - Q You said that you paid Mr. Pena then, including

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

Cementville, Texas.

- Q Was there any from Corpus Christi, Texas?
- A No, sir. That is not what the bills of lading show so I guess not. I just contracted with Pablo Pena and Sons. He took care of the rest of it.
- Q Was it legal to export cement from Mexico during this time? They go periodically, I think, where you can't export different items.
- A It's legal on the American side. That's all I worry about.
 - Q It's legal on the American side?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Is it legal on the Mexican side to export cement?
- A I don't know if it is or not. There are not too many things that are legal in Mexico.
- Q What was the price of cement when this was originally being thought about, where you thought you could make some money?
- A At the beginning I think the price of cement was about \$1.40 a sack for Mexican cement. By the time we got through making the arrangements the price had gone up to about \$1.90 or \$1.95, so it wasn't economically feasible to bring it into the United States. At that price, it wouldn't be worth it. So I just went ahead and

9

10

11

12

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

A No, sir.

Q You don't know what building, if there was more than one building?

A No, I sure wouldn't.

MR. KASTER: That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Donaldson?

MR. DONALDSON: Pass.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Mr. Laney?

BY MR. LANEY

Q You said that Mr. Pilon asked you to buy this.

Did you—were you already going to get this for Mr. Manges
and this other guy, Mr. Trevino, I believe?

A Yes. At the time I had an order for about 2,200 bags. That's the reason I was interested in the transaction. If I had bought at the Mexican price, I would have made maybe \$1,000 on the transaction. As it was, I didn't make anything on it.

Q Then Mr. Pilon said the Judge needed some, too.

Is that how it was?

A Yes. That's how it was.

Q Did you ever ask Mr. Pilon what the Judge was going to do with this concrete or anything?

A I imagine it was for building, but I didn't ask him.

Q But you never had dealt in concrete before- I

14

16

18

20 21

22

24

23

25

A No. No, I hadn't. This was just a situation that arised where I could make money on and unfortunately this was not one of them.

Q And it didn't seem strange to you that—it didn't occur to you that 500 sacks of cement for one building was a lot of cement?

A Nobody told me it was for one building. I didn't know what it was for. I had no idea what it was for. I said I imagined it was for building.

Q Oh, I'm sorry.

mean, in cement before?

MR. LANEY: All right. That's all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Ms. Weddington?

BY MS. WEDDINGTON

Q Did Mr. Pilon ever later tell you what the cement had been used for?

A No, ma'am.

Q Have you ever been to Judge O. P. Carrillo's ranch?

A I don't even know where it is.

Q Have you ever sold any cement to Duval County?

A No. I sold some to Duval County Ranch Company.

Q But this is the only transaction you have ever had in cement?

A That's the only one. With Mr. Carrillo, you

Q Mr. Guerra, has anything about the testimony that you have given under oath, has it been falsified, trumped up, in any way prepared for the sole purpose of doing anything but presenting the facts as they are and were to this Committee?

A No, sir. These are the facts as they happened and that's what I'm testifying on.

Q You have before you a folder that apparently documents the transaction about which you have testified. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q I'll ask you if that, if I counted correctly, that single transaction about which you have been questioned, is Document 1? Is that part of the documentation?

A Yes. I wrote the facts as they happened.

- Q 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 ways. Is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.

Q Are any of those documents forged, altered, fabricated, or in any manner not authentic?

- A No, sir. They are authentic documents.
- Q Are you a citizen of Starr County, Texas?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q How long have you been a citizen of that County?
- A Thirty-three years.
- Q Are you a businessman engaged in a lawful and

11

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

legitimate occupation?

A Yes, sir. We are licensed by the Bureau of Customs to be brokers, custom house brokers.

Q I was going to ask you that. You, as a matter of fact, have to secure a license to engage in the business that you are in and you pass a specific license test provided for by the Federal Government.

A Yes, sir, and a character investigation to be able to obtain the license.

Q And if anybody has testified that's got the stamp of "U.S. Choice Beef" on them, you would have, wouldn't you?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you know anything about the squabble going on up here with Judge Carrillo and the Parrs and all of them folks up in Duval County?

A Yes. Mostly what I have read in the papers and what I have seen here.

Q Have you attempted to answer the questions that have been put to you by members of the Committee to tell the truth about the transaction?

A Yes, sir. I have.

MR. MITCHELL: I have no further questions.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would you have any objection

to us reproducing all of those documents in your file?

A No, sir.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, I do have part of them reproduced in Carrillo 43, if we might have them made as a part of that package. I think I have them all.

A I would like the records back, though, for my

CHAIRMAN HALE: Yes. We will give them back to you.

BY CHAIRMAN HALE

Q Mr. Guerra, I noticed one of the documents in your file there is a little narrative summary in type-written form. When did you prepare that?

A I was reading in the Corpus Christi paper about two weeks ago about one of the allegations made against Judge Carrillo involving the use of cement. I recall that I had made a transaction approximately around that time when he was supposed to have used county cement or something. So I went back and checked my records and to be able to get all the facts together I just typed out a little narrative of the way it happened so I could have it handy.

Q Did you talk to anyone about that before you typed out the narrative?

A	Yes.	When I	read	in the	paper	about	this	
allegati	on made	agains	t the	Judge,	I thi	nk I sav	w my	
brother,	Arnulf	o, abou	t the	follow	ing we	ekend,	and I	
mentione	d to hi	m that	I fel	t there	was a	possib	ility	
that the	cement	mentio	ned i	n this	room m	ight be	the sa	me
that I h	ad sold	to Jud	ge Ca	rrillo.	He a	sked me	if I	
would be	willin	g to te	stify	and I	said "	Yes."		

Q Did he assist you in the preparation of that little narrative summary?

A No. Not at all.

Q Did anyone else assist you in the preparation of that narrative summary?

A No, sir.

Q Did you use any other documentation to refresh your memory other than the contents of that file which you have delivered to the Committee Clerk?

A No. I just checked my records on deposits.

The bills of lading I got from Pablo Pena and Sons Construction Company about two weeks ago, because they had not given me any bills of lading. I was billed by them on a statement form, which I mailed back to them when I paid them. I don't know what my check number is, but I have got the check there. So I had no documents from Pabro Pena and Sons. I went to Pablo Pena and Sons about two or three weeks ago and obtained those bills of lading.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Any further questions?

BY MR. KASTER

2

Ł

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Mr. Guerra, do you know anything about the uses for the cement that you sold, the gray type of just ordinary Portland cement.

A Yes. It was ordinary gray cement.

Q It was all the same kind. It wasn't a mixture like a white cement.

A As far as I know, I was paying for gray cement, and I think that's what it was.

Q Do you know anything about the building business that you could testify to?

A No.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Are there further questions?

Do you have anything further, Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: No. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is there any objection to excusing this witness? You'll be excused. Thank you for your testimony.

As soon as the clerks have photo copied those materials, we'll have you your originals back—have you your file if you'll stand by.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Chairman, may he have a subpoena so that he can get paid for his trip up here? I understand that that's the name of the game.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We'll take it up in committee

25

meeting later.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you have further witnesses today?

MR. MITCHELL: I don't have any that are Mr. Chairman, I do have, as the Chair knows, a request for subpoenas and I think I can narrow them down if I could get the ranger back with a duces tecum on a list of equipment that I understand they finally determined was owned by the county and the record of where the equipment was located, and I'd like to have Mrs. O. D. Bairring+ ton, in connection with the identification of a list of the county employees for Freer, and her identificationthe witness was previously here, was asked whether or not he knew a series of employees and, of course, he being from one county wouldn't know them from the other county, so I want to bring in a witness from the other county who would recognize those he didn't recognize and erase any impression that might have been left that those folks were figments of someone's imagination and someone ripping off their salary.

Then I'd like to have Mr. Cleofas Gonzalez, if I might.

Precincts, not counties. I'm sorry.

And Mr. Gabriel Gonzalez, who I hope we can tie in Mr. Carrillo's '63 building of that building, and the use of the material there. Hopefully, I have a witness

who will separate that from the job that appeared on the, through Mr. Hinojosa that was charged to the county. Course on that, naturally, you'd separate the two, so my client didn't use county funds or labor or materials. I don't think that's too far a field, but that's up to the discretion of the Chair.

I

. 4

CHAIRMAN HALE: What would Mrs. Bairrington testify to?

MR. MITCHELL: She would testify to the employees from Freer, that they were from Precinct 3, Freer. I believe Mr. Kaster went down the line and some of the other members went down the line, and one of the witnesses last week, at the tail end of the week; Friday, I believe. There were some he knew and some he didn't know, and I don't know whether I am getting paranoid after 25 years of this business, but there is sort of the implication left that there might not have been in existance. Course one of them from one city wouldn't have known the other one, and I'd like to bring her in just to have that same list read; see if she recognizes them, that they are real live eating, breathing folks.

And I believe that that's about all there would be. The Committee has been very exhaustive and very complete in their subpoening of witnesses and testimony.

I believe that that will about do it.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You mentioned-

ì

2

3

٠ŧ

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: I'd like to have Mr. Archer Parr. I don't know whether I'm going to get him, but I would like to have him up here and see if I can't put some fence posts around his fence and bob wire around his ranch, equipment on his place. Mr. Carrillo's gotten the blame for it. I would love to have him—don't think' I'll get him, but I would love to have him. Take about four or five days, I'm afraid.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And Mr. Kirkland.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. If the Committee wants him, I'd like for him to be here. He's prepared and, Mr. Hale, I have him on standby.

CHAIRMAN HALE: He's actually here, is he not?

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Kirkland. No, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I was told he was here in the courtroom today

MR. MITCHELL: Well,

CHAIRMAN HALE: Is he in Austin. Do you know if he's in Austin.

MR. MITCHELL: No, sir, I don't. I haven't seen him. I have seen once or twice in my life-time, Mr. Hale, and I wouldn't want—but he's their accountant, and whatever he testifies to, I'll let the chins

fall where they may. I do want him if I can get him. I think it would help the committee.

£22

\$5

CHAIRMAN HALE: Can you find out later today, if you can, find out whether he's in Austin?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. I will try.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair was told earlier today that he was seen in the courtroom. I don't know him when I see him, so I have no knowledge of this.

MR. MITCHELL: No, he hasn't been here.

I talked with him, Mr. Hale. Yesterday, I had him on
the standby about two or three weeks now, and he wanted
to go fishing. I said if you'll wait and the Chair wants
you; if the Committee wants you I'd like to have you here,
so he's on telephonic standby.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Fine. Maybe we should have him here in the morning. Could you notify him to be here in the morning then?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, I could and I'd like to have a little time, not necessarily to woodshed him, but I'd like to at least orient him where we are. We're not going to be talking about the sinking of the Titanic or something, so he'll know about where we're going.

CHAIRMAN HALE: That would be Mr. Kirkland,
Mr. Gabriel Gonzalez, Mr. Cleofas Gonzalez, Mrs. O. D.

Bairrington, possibly Mr. Archer Parr—you mentioned some

officer a minute ago.

1

ζ

. 1

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: The ranger.

CHAIRMAN HALE: What was his name?

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Powell

CHAIRMAN HALE: Powell.

MR. MITCHELL: It's the one who's conducted the inventory in the last forty-eight some odd hours, or a little more, Mr. Hale; finally has nut together an equipment inventory that belongs to the county, and I'd like to also—I think it would be significant for him to tell the Committee where he found it all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I believe he's under subpoens to the Committee. Isn't he?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. He is, Mr. Hele.

MR. MALONEY: Who all does Mr. Kirkland

keep the tax records for in the Carrillo famil?

MR. MITCHELL: The whole spectrum, Mr.

Maloney.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would he be keeping it for Ramiro Carrillo and brothers trust account, also.

MR. MITCHELL: The whole spectrum.

CHAIRMAN HALE: As Farm and Ranch?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Would it be too much to ask him to bring his records on all of those, each one

that he keeps for Oscar Carrillo, Ramiro Carrillo, O. P. Carrillo, Farm and Ranch-

1

)

ζ

·į

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

. 16

17

: 18

19

20

21

,22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: You mean just the tax return, the accounting input data, because it's a truck load.

I'm sure.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I'm sure it is. Unless a question arises that we'd need other information, if he could bring those with the tax returns up to fate.

MR. MITCHELL: Fine. I had a chart—CHAIRMAN HALE: You do réad a chart?

MR. MITCHELL: The very first day I said there is a complex picture; there are some thirty some odd tax returns, all total. Yes, we'll ask them to bring it all.

CHAIRMAN HALE: We certainly would appreciate it. That would include Mr. Chappa. D. C. Chappa.

MR. MITCHELL: I suppose so. Yes.

Mr. Maloney, those, of course, are beyond the scope of the 7206 indictment. That's the only reason we don't have them available. The only ones I have are those that are lative to that indictment, but we'll have them all brought and I think we'll give you—appreciate that fact.

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Hale, may I ask permission of the Chair to make the reproduction of Mr.

Guerra's file 43A so it rides in tandem with the documents that I have already previously introduced, and that the testimony and 43 and 43A will correlate.

CHAIRMAN HALE: You already have a 43A: it will have to be 43B. 43A was that invoice.

ú

MR. MITCHELL: Mr. Kirkland, Mr. Chairman, we tried to get him at his office; he's gone home. We'll make an effort to get him and I'll report, hopefully, to the Committee Chairman sometime within the next hour and a half if we can run him down.

CHAIRMAN HALE: All right. Let the Chair ask just for planning purposes; other than the names that we have just discussed, Mr. Kirkland, Gabriel Gonzalez, Cleofas Gonzalez, Mrs. O. D. Bairrington, and Mr. Powell. Do you plan any other witnesses before the Committee?

MR. MITCHELL: No, sir. I believe that it has run its gamut. I believe that that's the testimony that I feel is relevant, Mr. Hale. I'm trying to think off the top of my head, my other subpoena requests. I believe that's all that we have that relates to the matters that are before the Committee, and I've tried to point up the relevancy so that we don't get far a field.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Well Cleofas Gonzalez is under subpoens to the Committee, I believe.

MR. MITCHELL: That's right.

1

3

ú

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

24

25

CHAIRMAN HALE: And Powell is under subpoens to the Committee. We can get those two here by a telephone call. Gabriel Gonzalez, I don't believe is under subpoens at this time. Is he?

MR. MITCHELL: No, sir. Not that I know of.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And Mr. Kirkland we can get
up by telephone call.

MR. MITCHELL: There won't be any need to subpoens him.

CHAIRMAN HALE: So that would leave Mrs.

Bairrington and Gabriel Gonzalez would be the only two
that we need to get subpoenas out and served, I presume.

Does you client know Gabriel Gonzalez? Do you suppose
we could get him by telephone call with the understanding
that we'd serve a subpoena on him when he gets here?

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir. I'll attempt to

We'll call Mr. Kirkland, Mr. Hale, and Mr. Gonzalez, and I don't know how to get Mrs. Bairrington but we'd like to have her to have a list of the employees. I am informed that she is a foreman of Precinct 3 at Freer. She would have a list of those employees. I believe, Mr. Kaster, they will coincide with the list, hopefully, from the exhibits, which I believe I recall your question,

specifically. You could ask her the questions: she knows the people, and so forth.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Do you want her to bring a list of all of the employees of Precinct Number 3?

MR. MITCHELL: The Freer division.

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Freer division.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

(Brief recess.)

I

ţ

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

· 22

23

24

۵٠,

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Committee will come back to order.

The Chair has been in consultation with members of the Committee and staff in an attempt to determine what our schedule could be for tomorrow and the remainder of this week, in view of the present state of the testimony, and it would now appear that there will be about six or seven other witnesses that will have some type of testimony to give to the Committee. Due to the lateness of the hour today, and the fact that all of these witnesses are located several hundred miles from Austin, the Chair feels it would be rather unreasonable to try to compel any of these people to be here tomorrow morning. We have reason to believe that about three or four of them can be here at 1:00 o'clock p.m. tomorrow afternoon, and so the Committee could resume the testimony on those witnesses tomorrow afternoon at 1:00 o'clock, and on Mr. Kirkland

š

.4

5

6

and Mr. Gabriel Gonzalez, the Chair is advised by Mr. Mitchell that he will arrange to have those two witnesses here Thursday morning so that we could have those witnesses. That would give us testimony tomorrow afternoon and Thursday morning, and possible Thursday afternoon if it should go that long. Is that in accordance with your understanding, Mr. Mitchell?

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. MITCHELL: It is, Mr. Chairman. Yes.

CHAIRMAN HALE: And as of this point then, you do not anticipate that you would put on any additional evidence other than those. Is that correct?

> MR. MITCHELL: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN HALE: I take it from that, and I ask this question not to embarrass you in any way, but I assume from your statement that Judge Carrillo does not intend to testify before the Committee.

MR. MITCHELL: No. It is my decision, and made in his behalf as an attorney, that he will not testify.

CHAIRMAN HALE: Thank you. We respect your oninion on this.

We do, Members of the Committee, need to have an executive session for t

stand by for just a moment after we formally recess the Committee, we will have an executive session.

Thank you. Mr. Maloney moves that the Committee now resolve itself into executive session for the nurpose of discussing the issuance of further subnoenas by the Committee. Is there any objection to that motion? The Chair hears none and the motion prevails. We will have an executive session now.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

(At the conclusion of the executive session,

Mr. Maloney moves that the Committee stand recessed until

1:00 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.)

CHAIRMAN HALE: The Chair hears no objection so we will resume public hearing tomorrow at 1:00 o'clock p.m.

(Whereupon the hearing was recessed at 5:50 p.m. to resume Wednesday, June 11, at 1:00 o'clock p.m.)

HICKMAN REPORTING

-i